#### **RESEARCH PAPER**



### Changes in the non-growing season soil heterotrophic respiration rate are driven by environmental factors after fire in a cold temperate forest ecosystem

Tongxin Hu<sup>1</sup> · Haiqing Hu<sup>1</sup> · Fei Li<sup>1</sup> · Xu Dou<sup>1</sup> · Long Sun<sup>1</sup>

Received: 4 October 2020 / Accepted: 25 March 2021 / Published online: 8 April 2021 © INRAE and Springer-Verlag France SAS, part of Springer Nature 2021

### Abstract

• *Key message* During the non-growing season, environmental factors changed after fire, leading to significantly increased nongrowing season soil heterotrophic respiration ( $R_h$ ) and potentially decreasing the amount of net C stored in cold temperate forest ecosystems of China.

• *Context* Intensifying forest fire regimes are likely to influence future C budgets of forest ecosystems. However, the mechanism of fire disturbance on the components of non-growing season soil respiration rate ( $R_s$ ) and its environmental factors are not fully understood, creating uncertainties for future C sink estimates under climate change scenarios.

• *Aims* This study examined the effects of recent fire on non-growing season (November 2017 to April 2018)  $R_s$ , its heterotrophic ( $R_h$ ) and autotrophic ( $R_a$ ) components, and  $Q_{10}$  in a cold temperate forest in northeast China.

• *Methods* Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes (including  $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ) were measured using an Li-8100 portable automatic measuring system for soil C flux (Li-Cor, Inc.; Lincoln, NE, USA). Soil temperature and moisture were measured using a temperature probe (Licor p/n8100–201) and soil volumetric water content probe (ECH20 167 EC-5; p/n 8,100,202), respectively, at a depth of 5 cm; snowpack depth was measured with a ruler.

• *Results* During the non-growing season, fire significantly increased the  $R_h$  by approximately 47% in burned stands. The  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_h$  significantly increased from 2.39 in the unburned stands to 3.12 in the burned stands. An interaction between soil temperature and snowpack depth was the driving environmental factor controlling the non-growing season soil respiration and its components after fire disturbance.

• Conclusion Fire is a potent factor on the components of the soil respiration and should not be ignored in forest ecosystem C cycling, especially during the non-growing season as it is vulnerable to micro-environmental variation. Long-term studies involving diverse ecosystems are required to better elucidate mechanisms that have been found during the non-growing season  $R_s$  under an increasing trend of fire occurrence.

Keywords Fire disturbance · Soil respiration · Soil temperature · Snowpack depth · Forest

Handling Editor: Paulo Fernandes

**Contribution of the co-authors Long Sun**: Conceptualization, Methodology. **Tongxin Hu**: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Software. **Xu Dou**: Visualization, Investigation. **Fei Li**: Software, Validation. **Haiqing Hu**: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Haiqing Hu huhq@nefu.edu.cn

Long Sun sunlong365@126.com

> Tongxin Hu htxhtxapplesina@sina.com

Fei Li nefulifei@163.com Xu Dou dx123mtr@nefu.edu.cn

Key Laboratory of Sustainable Forest Ecosystem Management-Ministry of Education, College of Forestry, Northeast Forestry University, 26 Hexing Road, Harbin 150040, China



### 1 Introduction

Forest ecosystems are predominantly sinks for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Gower et al. 2001; Trumbore et al. 2015). However, disturbances caused by climatic extremes and human activities (e.g., wildfire, storm, drought, prescribed burning, nitrogen addition, and land use management) can significantly and rapidly affect the C cycle of forest ecosystems and its feedback to the atmospheric system (Fang et al. 2018; Frank et al. 2015; Hu et al. 2017b; Plaza-Álvarez et al. 2017; Sheng et al. 2009). In recent years, extreme climate events, such as intensified El Niño and drought, which are associated with climate warming, have meant that the frequency, severity, and burn area of wildfires are expected to increase in the near future (Abatzoglou and Williams 2016; Santin et al. 2016; Seidl et al. 2017). Quantifying the effect of forest fire disturbance on the C dynamics of forest ecosystems is a key component for lowering the uncertainties associated with C sink estimates (Kasischke and Stocks 2000; Liu et al. 2014; Schimel and Baker 2002).

Soil respiration  $(R_s)$  is the sum of soil autotrophic respiration  $(R_a)$  (from root systems and root-associated microorganisms) and soil heterotrophic respiration  $(R_{\rm h})$  (from the decomposition of organic material by free-living microbes) (Chen et al. 2016b; Davidson and Janssens 2006; Luo and Zhou 2006). Soil respiration is the second largest C efflux (80–98 Pg C·yr<sup>-1</sup>) in terrestrial ecosystems and the global  $R_{\rm h}$ from soils has been estimated at 53-57 Pg C·yr<sup>-1</sup> (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson 2010). A recent study observed that the soil surface  $R_{\rm h}$ :  $R_{\rm s}$  ratio significantly increased from 0.54 to 0.63 between 1990 and 2014 due to environmental change (Bond-Lamberty et al. 2018). Functionally, disturbance (e.g., wildfires) can have significant effects on soil respiration components such as  $R_{\rm h}$  and while the control of soil respiration components by some environmental factors has been identified, how each environmental factor interacts with disturbance remains an open question (Harmon et al. 2011).

Many studies have focused on forest soil respiration during the growing season (Chen et al. 2016a; Decina et al. 2016; Pries et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2016) and have estimated the annual soil respiration by assuming that the respiration efflux is near zero during the non-growing season (Fahnestock et al. 1998). However, other studies have shown that the nongrowing season soil respiration could account for 2–37% of annual soil respiration and that it significantly affects the C balance of forest ecosystems (Brooks et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2014b). Forest fire disturbance can alter both the input and output of organic C stored in the soil. Thus, a remaining challenge is to understand the variation and dominating environmental factors of the non-growing season  $R_s$  and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ) after fire disturbance (Song et al. 2018). In particular, the response



of  $R_h$  to forest fire might be the key factor influencing the amount of net C stored in forest ecosystems.

The degree of influence of fire on the soil C pool depends on fire severity and duration (Marañón-Jiménez et al. 2011). Soil respiration and its components are potentially controlled by the variation of soil environmental factors after fire disturbance (Pereira et al. 2016). For instance, forest fires can affect soil respiration by decreasing vegetation cover and increasing albedo, which can increase soil temperatures and litter decomposition rates (Jiang et al. 2015; Throop et al. 2017). Additionally, fire can increase soil hydrophobicity, which may indirectly control the components of soil respiration by reducing soil moisture infiltration and increasing surface runoff (O'Donnell et al. 2009). Previous studies have indicated that soil temperature and moisture are the dominant environmental factors of soil respiration variation during the growing season (Raich and Schlesinger 1992; Yi et al. 2020).

The northern hemisphere has a greater area of winter snow compared to the southern hemisphere, and snow in the north is much more vulnerable to climate change (Cohen and Entekhabi 1999; Danco et al. 2016). Snow creates an insulating layer that might increase soil temperature, and soil temperature and moisture changes after disturbance have a strong effect on the snow-cover depth (Groisman et al. 1994; Uchida et al. 2005). The interaction effect between environmental factors can change biological and chemical processes such as microbial decomposition, enzyme and rhizosphere organism activity (Monson et al. 2006; Tucker et al. 2014). However, few studies have focused on the effect of environmental factors on the components of the soil respiration during the non-growing season, leading to great uncertainty about the variation in soil respiration during the non-growing season (Barba et al. 2018; Hibbard et al. 2005; Rustad et al. 2001).

In the present study, we used a *Quercus mongolica* forest immediately after fire disturbance. The objectives of the study were to determine how wildfires affect the non-growing season components of soil respiration and to determine the dominating environmental factors that drive variation in soil respiration after fire disturbance. We postulated that the components of soil respiration are potentially controlled by interactions among soil environmental factors such as soil temperature, soil moisture and snow depth that are altered by a fire event. In a previous study, a forest fire was found to significantly decrease  $R_a$  (Hu et al. 2017b); thus, we hypothesized that the non-growing season total  $R_{\rm s}$  was dominated by soil  $R_{\rm h}$  after fire disturbance. As snowpack is an important environmental factor during the non-growing season and is vulnerable to temperature change, we further postulated that snowpack depth may be related to fire disturbance and that it will influence the components of the non-growing season soil respiration ( $R_{\rm h}$  and  $R_{\rm a}$ ).

### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study area

The present study was conducted at the Maoershan Forest Ecosystem Research Station, northeast China (45°20'-45°25' N, 27°30'-127°34' E, 400 m above sea level). The parent material is granite bedrock, and the soil is classified as a Haplumbrepts in the United States Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff 2014). The climate is continental monsoon with a dry and cold winter. The annual total precipitation varies from 600 mm to 800 mm, of which ~50% falls between June and August (summer dominated). The mean annual, maximum, and minimum air temperatures are 2.7 °C, 18.0 °C, and -12.1 °C, respectively. During the sampling years (2017-2018), the maximum and minimum air temperatures were 32.5 °C and -31.2 °C, respectively. Snowpack lasted for 154 days, with the snowpack depth varying from 0 to 31.2 cm, with a mean depth of 14.1 cm. The dominate tree species of our research stand is Q. mongolica (> 80% total basal area) and mixed with naturally regenerated tree species that include Betula platyphylla and Populus davidiana. The dominant herb species during the study period were Anisodus acutangulus, Adenocaulon himalaicum, Dryopteris crassirhizoma, and Aegopodium alpestre.

### 2.2 Site description

In the present study, the non-growing season experimental period was from mid-November 2017 to mid-April 2018 and was approximately 150 days. The definition of the non-growing season follows that of previous phenological studies (Piao et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2017), meta-analysis of the winter ecosystem (Wang et al. 2011) and C flux research of temperate Korean Pine (Pinus koraiensis Sieb. et Zucc.) in the Maoershan area (Wang et al. 2013). The first span of at least 5 days with daily mean air temperatures below 5 °C was defined as the start of the nongrowing season. Similarly, the first span of 5 days with daily mean air temperatures above 5 °C was defined as the end of the non-growing season. The freeze-thaw cycle (FTC) period in spring was defined as 5 cm of soil above 0 °C (i.e., the start of the snowmelt), to the end of the non-growing season (i.e., the snow completely melted). The non-growing season included the snow-cover winter period and the FTC period (Table 1).

In April 2016, forest fires caused by lightning occurred at Maoershan Forest Ecosystem Research Station, northeast China. The total area burned was approximately 500 ha and provided an opportunity for us to study the effects of fire disturbance on soil respiration and its components. The burn severity was moderate in the burned area: severity was determined by the depth of the burned organic soil, the consumption of the aboveground biomass, tree mortality, and the bark char height (Keeley 2009). In the burned area, approximately 50% of the understory shrubs were burned, the bark char height was 1.8-2.4 m and tree mortality was approximately 36%. We selected three replicate stands in the burned area to conduct our investigation and selected nearby unburned areas as the control stands. The size of each stand was 400 m<sup>2</sup> (20 m  $\times$  20 m) and all stands were established in April 2017. The specific information of the stands and soil characteristic is shown in Table 2. The leaves of Q. mongolica were persistent; a large number of leaves did not fall off the trees and instead existed in the canopy even in the non-growing season, which led to the difference in canopy coverage between the control and the burned stands in the non-growing season.

### 3 Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its environmental factors

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes (including  $R_{\rm h}$  and  $R_{\rm a}$ ) were measured using an Li-8100 portable automatic measuring system for soil C flux (Li-Cor, Inc.; Lincoln, NE, USA). Five polyvinylchloride (PVC) soil rings (internal diameter 19 cm and height 7 cm) for measuring  $R_s$  were randomly placed in each stand. A trenching approach was used to separate  $R_{\rm h}$  and  $R_{\rm a}$ . Three trenched plots in each stand were dug down to either bedrock or to a maximum depth of 80 cm, each encompassing an area of 1.5 m  $\times$  1.5 m. All roots within the trenches were severed and plastic lining was installed to inhibit root and mycorrhizal in-growth, and ground vegetation was absent (Liu et al. 2016; Zeng et al. 2016). All PVC rings remained in the same position throughout the study period. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured from trenched plots were assumed to be  $R_{\rm h}$ .  $R_{\rm a}$  was calculated as the difference between the mean values of  $R_s$  and  $R_h$  in each stand. All trenched plots were established in May 2016, approximately 12 months before the measurement of soil respiration and its components, to ensure that the disturbance caused by trenching on soil respiration and its components had subsided. The soil CO2 efflux measurement method in the corresponding unburned control stands was the same as

 Table 1
 Timing of the non-growing season, winter period, and spring freeze-thaw cycle (FTC) period

Non-growing seasonMid-November 2017 to mid-April 2018150Winter periodMid-November 2017 to mid-March 2018120FTC periodMid-March 2018 to mid-April 201830	_	Duration	Days
	Non-growing season	Mid-November 2017 to mid-April 2018	150
	Winter period	Mid-November 2017 to mid-March 2018	120
	FTC period	Mid-March 2018 to mid-April 2018	30



🖉 Springer	INRAØ

Stand type	Stand number	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Canopy cover %)	OML (cm)	Bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	TC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	TN (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	I Hq	N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> ng/kg	N-NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> mg/kg	AP mg/kg	AK mg/kg
Burned stand	Stand 1	$14.58 \pm 0.55$	$14.68 \pm 0.58$	74 ± 1	$5.55 \pm 0.13$	$0.66 \pm 0.03$	122.68 ± 8.76	$6.94 \pm 1.19$	$4.68 \pm 0.11$ 2	3.54 ± 2.18	$7.98 \pm 0.55$	$43.72 \pm 3.57$	$20.99 \pm 2.41$
	Stand 2	$10.49\pm0.64$	$9.28 \pm 0.93$	$72 \pm 1$	$6.74\pm0.02$	$0.57\pm0.03$	$135.14 \pm 8.08$	$6.11 \pm 0.46$	$4.20 \pm 0.19$ 2	$20.78 \pm 1.48$	$10.74 \pm 0.51$	$36.78\pm3.17$	$13.18 \pm 2.97$
	Stand 3	$13.82\pm0.93$	$11.53 \pm 0.63$	$74 \pm 1$	$6.32 \pm 0.42$	$0.51\pm0.01$	$140.62 \pm 6.66$	$6.75 \pm 0.30$	$4.66 \pm 0.02$ 2	$21.53 \pm 1.13$	$4.80 \pm 1.29$	$30.83\pm2.61$	$14.15 \pm 1.77$
Control stand	Stand 1	$13.17\pm0.76$	$11.02 \pm 0.12$	<b>93</b> ± 1	$11.56 \pm 1.02$	$0.84\pm0.04$	$119.74 \pm 7.89$	$5.05 \pm 0.34$	$4.55 \pm 0.16$	$4.46 \pm 2.87$	$8.31 \pm 0.47$	$24.8\pm2.62$	$16.68 \pm 2.71$
	Stand 2	$16.98\pm0.42$	$14.84 \pm 0.23$	$38 \pm 3$	$10.55\pm0.65$	$0.80\pm0.01$	$124.34 \pm 5.88$	$5.98 \pm 0.63$	$3.83 \pm 0.10$	$2.34 \pm 2.70$	$6.22\pm0.85$	$38.88 \pm 3.53$	$13.48 \pm 1.69$
	Stand 3	$11.45\pm0.63$	$10.65 \pm 0.48$	$90 \pm 2$	$9.58\pm0.45$	$0.83\pm0.03$	$105.66 \pm 4.48$	$3.94 \pm 1.27$	$4.62 \pm 0.01$	$3.38 \pm 1.28$	$8.35\pm0.53$	$37.67\pm1.96$	$11.17 \pm 1.70$

**Table 2** Basic information of research stands. Values are the means ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of three replicates with repeat measurements (n = 3)

DBH, diameter at breast height; OML, depth of the organic material layer; TC, total carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AP, available phosphorus; AK, available potassium

that for the burned stands. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were measured monthly from November to April in 2017–2018. The measurement time lasted approximately two minutes for each soil respiration ring. Each measurement was conducted from 9:00 am to 11:00 am for a total of 48 (30 non-trenched soil rings for  $R_s$  + 18 trenched soil rings for  $R_h$ ) measurements over 2 days.

Soil temperature and moisture were measured using a temperature probe (Licor p/n8100–201) and soil volumetric water content probe (ECH20 EC-5; p/n 8,100,202), respectively, at a depth of 5 cm; snowpack depth was measured with a ruler. The measurement of soil temperature, moisture, and snowpack depth was synchronized with the measurement of soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes (Hu and Sun 2021).

### 3.1 Models of soil respiration and its components

An exponential model and the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration  $(Q_{10})$  were used to describe the relationship between soil respiration and soil temperature, which was determined by fitting the exponential function (see Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) below) for burned and unburned control stands (Lloyd and Taylor 1994):

$$SR = \alpha e^{\beta T} \tag{1}$$

$$Q_{10} = \mathrm{e}^{10\beta} \tag{2}$$

where SR is the measured total soil respiration and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ), T is the soil temperature (°C) at 5 cm,  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  are regression coefficients, e is the nature constant, and  $Q_{10}$  is the factor by which soil respiration and its components increase during a temperature increase of 10 °C.

To describe the relationship among soil respiration and soil moisture and the depth of the snowpack, linear, exponential, and quadratic functions were tested for using the data from burned and unburned control stands (Eqs. 3–8); we selected the best fitted model based on higher  $R^2$  results (Davidson et al. 1998; Lai et al. 2012):

$$SR = \alpha + \beta W \tag{3}$$

$$SR = \alpha e^{\beta W} \tag{4}$$

$$SR = \alpha + \beta W + \omega W^2 \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{SR} = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{S} \tag{6}$$

$$SR = \alpha e^{\beta S} \tag{7}$$

$$SR = \alpha + \beta S + \omega S^2 \tag{8}$$

where SR is the measured total soil respiration and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ); W is the soil moisture (%) at 5 cm; S is snowpack depth (cm); and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\omega$  are the constant values of the regression model coefficients.

#### 3.2 Statistical analysis

Data were processed and analyzed using R statistical software version 3.5.2 (R Core Team 2018), using R packages "car" (Fox 2012), "agricolae" (Mendiburu 2017), and "lavann" (Rosseel 2012). Differences in variables between the burned and control stands were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and comparisons between means were performed using the least-significant differences test. Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to determine the direct and interactive effect of fire disturbance and measurement date on soil respiration components ( $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$ ), soil temperature, soil moisture, and snowpack depth. Linear, exponential, and quadratic function models were used to evaluate the relationship among the soil respiration components  $(R_s, R_h, and R_a)$  and environmental factors (soil temperature, soil moisture, and snowpack depth). Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to determine how environmental factors affected soil respiration. A conceptual meta-model was developed, including direct and indirect pathways between theoretical drivers of the components of soil respiration. Only the environmental factors that had a significant correlation with the components of soil respiration were included in the meta-model. Parameters were linked to the model either directly or as a composite variable. Non-significant *P*-values (P > 0.05) of the chi-square test in SEM suggest a good fit between the model and data. Differences were considered statistically significant at *P*-values < 0.05.

### **4 Results**

### 4.1 The effect of fire disturbance on soil environmental factors

The average soil temperature of the non-trenched control and burned stands was  $-2.73 \pm 1.68$  °C and  $-0.91 \pm 1.38$  °C, respectively. The soil temperature of the trenched control and burned stands was  $-1.98 \pm 2.56$  °C and  $-0.22 \pm 1.04$  °C, respectively (Fig. 1a and b). The soil temperature of the nontrenched control and burned stands showed a similar variation over time, decreasing at the beginning of the non-growing season and remaining at its minimum value from December 2017 to January 2018, after which there was an increasing trend to the end of the non-growing season, reaching the maximum value from March to April 2018.

The average soil moisture of the non-trenched control and burned stands was  $50.14 \pm 15\%$  and  $34.98 \pm 4.81\%$ , respectively. The average soil moisture of the trenched control and burned stands was  $56.10 \pm 6.64\%$  and  $32.74 \pm 9.87\%$ , respectively (Fig. 1c and d). The average snowpack depth at the nontrenched control and burned stands was  $14.07 \pm 3.05$  cm and  $10.45 \pm 3.30$  cm, respectively. The average depth of



snowpack at the trenched control and burned stands was  $13.58 \pm 6.64$  cm and  $10.74 \pm 2.66$  cm, respectively (Fig. 1e and f). No significant differences in soil temperature, soil moisture, or snowpack depth were detected between the trenched and non-trenched plots at the different areas (Table 3). Soil temperature was significantly higher at the fire disturbed stands than at the control stands, whereas the soil moisture and average snowpack depth were significantly lower in the fire disturbed area than in the control stands (Table 3). The measurement date had a significant effect on the soil temperature and snowpack depth; however, it did not have a significant effect on soil moisture. Therefore, soil moisture did not show a significant dynamic variation trend similar to that of soil temperature and snowpack depth during the non-growing season (Table 3).

## 4.2 Effect of fire disturbance on soil respiration and its components

 $R_{\rm s}$ ,  $R_{\rm h}$ , and  $R_{\rm a}$  all showed significant variation during the sampling period (Fig. 2). The  $R_{\rm s}$  trend followed that of the soil temperature in that there was an increasing trend during the non-growing season (Fig. 2a). The mean values of  $R_{\rm s}$  in the control and burned stands was  $0.59 \pm 0.19 \ \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $0.72 \pm 0.15 \ \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively. The average

Fig. 1 Non-growing season soil temperature, soil moisture at a depth of 5 cm, and depth of snowpack measured at nontrenched (**a**, **c**, and **e**) and trenched (**b**, **d**, and **f**) plots in control and burned stands. Error bars represent standard deviations, and the shadowed period indicates the spring freeze-thaw cycle (FTC). Values represent the average of three technical replications and repeated measurements

**Table 3**Results (F-values) of repeated-measures analysis of varianceon the effects of fire disturbance (F), measurement date (D), trench effect(TE), and their interaction on soil temperature (T,  $^{\circ}$ C), soil moisture (W,%), and snowpack depth (S, cm)

	Т	W	S
F	9.975**	45.702***	22.008***
D	80.635***	0.484	85.575***
TE	1.614	0.426	0.095
$F \times D$	0.841	0.818	2.512*
F×TE	0.002	2.066	0.921
D×TE	0.723	0.838	0.211
F×D×TE	0.587	0.415	0.01

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent significance at P < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively

 $R_{\rm s}$  was not observably significantly different between the two treatments (P > 0.05; Table 4).

The dynamic patterns of  $R_h$  were similar to those of  $R_s$ and showed a similar trend to that of soil temperature (Fig. 2a and b), whereas the dynamic pattern of  $R_a$  followed that of snowpack depth, which showed a single peak and maximum values in February (Fig. 2c). The mean non-growing season  $R_h$  in the control and burned stands was  $0.32 \pm 0.14 \ \mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.47 \pm 0.15 \ \mu$ mol







**Fig. 2** Dynamic variations of the non-growing season (**a**) total soil respiration rate  $(R_s)$ , (**b**) soil heterotrophic respiration  $(R_h)$ , and (**c**) soil autotrophic respiration  $(R_a)$  in control and burned stands. The shadowed period indicates the spring freeze-thaw cycle (FTC). Values represent the average of three technical replications and repeated measurements with standard deviations

CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The average non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$  in the burned stands was significantly higher than the control stands by approximately 47% (P < 0.05) (Table 4). The mean non-growing season  $R_{\rm a}$  in the control and burned stands was  $0.27 \pm 0.09 \ \mu\text{mol} \ \text{CO}_2 \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $0.25 \pm 0.07 \ \mu\text{mol} \ \text{CO}_2 \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively. No significant differences were found between the  $R_{\rm a}$  in the control

stands and that in the burned stands (P > 0.05) (Table 4). Compared with the control stand, the average  $R_h:R_s$  increased from  $0.57 \pm 0.18$  to  $0.66 \pm 0.19$  in the burned stands (Fig. 6 in Appendix). Compared with the entire non-growing season, the FTC period increased  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$  1.8, 1.9, and 1.6 times, respectively, in the control stands. In the burned stands,  $R_s$  and  $R_h$  increased by 1.6 and 1.9 times, respectively. There was no change between the non-growing season  $R_a$  and the FTC  $R_a$  in the burned stands (Table 6 in Appendix).

### 4.3 Relationships among soil respiration components and environmental factors

The exponential regressions with soil temperature as a single controlling factor for  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$  were significant (P < 0.01) for both control and burned stands. However, only  $R_h$  showed a significant quadratic relationship with soil moisture in the control stand (Fig. 3, Tables 5, and 7 in Appendix).

The quadratic function regressions with the snowpack depth as the single explanatory variable of  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$  were the best fitted models to describe the relationship among snowpack depth and soil respiration and its components (Fig. 3, Tables 5, and 7 in Appendix). In the control stands, snowpack depth pack showed a significant relationship with  $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ; snowpack depth showed a significant relationship with soil  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$  in the burned stands (Fig. 3 and Table 5).

Based on the model fitting results, soil temperature and snowpack depth were introduced in the structural equation model to describe the relationship between  $R_s$  and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ) and soil environmental factors. All structural equation models fitted well (P > 0.05), explaining approximately 86.1%, 84.9%, and 66.6% of the variation for  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$ , respectively (Fig. 4).

The structural equation models revealed direct effects of environmental factors on  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$ , and also helped explain the interaction effect between soil temperature and the snowpack depth composite. The structural equation models

**Table 4** Results (F-values) of repeated-measures analysis of variance of the effects of fire disturbance (F), measurement date (D), and their interaction on soil total respiration ( $R_s$ ) (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), soil heterotrophic respiration ( $R_h$ ) (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and soil autotrophic respiration ( $R_a$ ) (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

	R <sub>s</sub>	R <sub>h</sub>	R <sub>a</sub>
F	1.081	5.291*	0.01
D	81.156***	43.789***	33.047***
F×D	0.001	1.962	1.264

\* and \*\*\* represent significance at P < 0.05 and 0.001, respectively





Fig. 3 Relationships between soil total respiration ( $R_s$ ) and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ) and soil temperature (Fig.3a–c), soil moisture (Fig.3d–f), and snowpack depth (Fig.3g–i) in control and burned stands. Equations and statistical parameters are shown in Table 5

revealed that soil temperature directly affected  $R_s$ ,  $R_h$ , and  $R_a$  (Fig. 4). Although  $R_s$  and its components ( $R_h$  and  $R_a$ ) all showed significant quadratic relationships with snowpack depth, this composite only had a significant direct effect on  $R_s$  and  $R_a$  (Fig. 4).

#### 4.4 Changes in Q<sub>10</sub> induced by fire disturbance

Compared with the control stands, the  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_s$  and  $R_a$  was lower by approximately 6.8% and 15% than that in the burned stands, respectively; however, these results were not significant (P > 0.05) (Fig. 5 and Table 5). The  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_h$  in the burned stands was significantly greater by approximately 27.3% than that of the control stands (P < 0.05) (Fig. 5 and Table 5).



### **5** Discussion

### 5.1 Seasonal variation of the non-growing season soil respiration and its components

In the present study, the mean  $R_s$  during the non-growing season in the temperate *Q. mongolica* forest was  $0.59 \pm 0.19 \mu \text{mol CO}_2$ m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. This result was higher than that of a previous study that found that the non-growing season  $R_s$  in a boreal forest ecosystem of China was  $0.29 \pm 0.06 \mu \text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Hu et al. 2017a). This difference might be due to a lower temperature occurring in the higher latitudes of China. We found synchronous responses to  $R_s$  and  $R_h$  with a peak in mid-April, whereas  $R_a$ tended to follow the dynamics of snowpack depth, which showed a single peak curve with maximum values occurring in February (Fig. 2). In agreement with our hypothesis,  $R_h$  stemming from the microbial decomposition of soil organic matter was the dominant component during the non-growing season  $R_{\rm s}$ . Several studies indicated that  $R_{\rm h}$  dominated  $R_{\rm s}$  during the non-growing season (Gaumont-Guay et al., 2008; Hanson et al., 2000; Jiao and Wang, 2019; Savage et al., 2013; Tucker et al. 2014). At the same time, the non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$ accounted for a larger proportion of  $R_s$  than in the growing season (Ruehr and Buchmann, 2010; Shi et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2005). This may be caused by a difference in how  $R_{\rm h}$  and  $R_{\rm a}$ respond to soil environmental changes (Li et al., 2013; Zou et al., 2018). Our results indicated that  $R_{\rm h}$  was more sensitive to environmental changes than  $R_a$  in the non-growing season. Despite the low temperature in the non-growing season, soil microbes were still active and were the dominant biotic controller of the non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$  due to the regulatory effect of snowpack depth (Yi et al., 2020). Several studies have shown that the duration and depth of snow cover can significantly influence soil temperature and that correspondingly, soil temperature can manipulate snow depth, which could therefore significantly influence  $R_s$  and its components (Gavazov et al. 2017; Nobrega and Grogan 2007; Reinmann and Templer 2018; Uchida et al. 2005). Our finding highlights that the interaction coupling effect between soil temperature and snowpack depth must be considered when studying the components of non-growing season  $R_s$  (Gao et al. 2018).

# 5.2 Effects of fire disturbance on non-growing season soil respiration and its components

Our findings show that fire has different effects on nongrowing season  $R_{\rm s}$ . Non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$  was significantly increased by approximately 47% after fire disturbance and  $R_{\rm h}:R_{\rm s}$  increased from 0.57 to 0.66, whereas the non-growing season  $R_a$  showed no change after fire. This result is in agreement with our hypothesis that the non-growing season  $R_s$  was dominated by  $R_{\rm h}$  after fire disturbance. The non-growing season  $R_{\rm s}$  increased after fire disturbance; however, this increasing trend was not significant for the non-growing season  $R_{\rm a}$ after fire disturbance. There are several reasons to explain our findings, the first being that fire changes the quality and quantity of detritus, which may promote higher decomposition rates by microbes. Our results agree with the finding from a previous study of increased  $R_s$  in a boreal forest of interior Alaska after prescribed burning, which was mainly attributed to higher  $R_{\rm h}$  after fire disturbance (Kim 2013). Fire burned the vegetation and soil organic layer, which increased the availability of nutrients, thus promoting microbial activity and changing the decomposition rate (Song et al. 2017; Wüthrich et al. 2002). Second, post-fire environmental factors control the variation of components of non-growing season  $R_s$ after fire disturbance. Forest fires decrease the forest canopy, which will directly increase the soil temperature after fire disturbance (Munozrojas et al. 2016). Owing to the persistent leaves of Q. mongolica, canopy cover of the burned stands was lower than that of the control stands in the non-growing season, which directly led to the higher surface solar radiation of non-growing season in the burned stands. In the present study, soil temperature significantly increased by approximately 2 °C after fire disturbance and snowpack depth and organic material layer depth were significantly decreased in the burned stands. Recent studies have shown that nongrowing season  $R_s$  is almost entirely driven by microbial decomposition, which is a temperature-dependent biological process, and that soil temperatures between -2 °C and 0 °C

**Table 5** Parameters of the equations for the relationship of soil respiration and its components  $(R_s, R_h, R_a)$  (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) with soil temperature (T), soil moisture (W), and snowpack depth (S) (cm) for the control and burned stands.

Factor	Control stands				Burned stands			
	Equation	$R^2$	Р	$Q_{10}$	Equation	$R^2$	Р	$Q_{10}$
<i>f</i> (T)								
	$R_{\rm s} = 0.512 e^{0.093 {\rm T}}$	0.459	***	$2.53\pm0.58$	$R_{\rm s}$ =0.5623e0.0859 T	0.686	***	$2.36\pm0.55$
	$R_{\rm h} = 0.2773 {\rm e}^{0.0873 {\rm T}}$	0.546	***	$2.39\pm0.47$	<i>R</i> <sub>h</sub> =0.3619e0.1144 T	0.84	***	$3.12\pm0.57$
	$R_{\rm a} = 0.2365 e^{0.0989 T}$	0.311	***	$2.69\pm0.70$	$R_{\rm a}$ =0.2129e0.0827 T	0.549	***	$2.29\pm0.58$
<i>f</i> (W)								
	$R_{\rm s}$ =-0.7126+0.0608 W-0.0006W <sup>2</sup>	0.075	NS		$R_{\rm s}$ =-2.1717+0.1997 W-0.0033W <sup>2</sup>	0.102	NS	
	$R_{\rm h}$ =-3.4022+0.1151 W-0.0008W <sup>2</sup>	0.373	*		$R_{\rm h}$ =-3.4022+3.5239 W-5.0490W <sup>2</sup>	0.062	NS	
	$R_{\rm a}$ =-0.7044+4.3104 W-4.3739W <sup>2</sup>	0.124	NS		$R_{\rm a}$ =-0.8713+0.0889 W-0.0019W <sup>2</sup>	0.287	NS	
<i>f</i> (S)								
	$R_{\rm s} = 1.0853 - 0.0879 \text{S} + 0.0027 \text{S}^2$	0.293	NS		$R_{\rm s} = 1.3473 - 0.1315S + 0.0044S^2$	0.454	*	
	$R_{\rm h} = 0.6576 - 0.04398 + 0.00108^2$	0.343	*		$R_{\rm h} = 1.011 - 0.1071 {\rm S} + 0.0036 {\rm S}^2$	0.442	*	
	$R_{\rm a} = 0.4654 - 0.05S + 0.0018S^2$	0.51	**		$R_{\rm a}$ =04088-0.04146S+0.0019S <sup>2</sup>	0.386	*	

Statistically significant levels: NS, not significant; \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent significant at P<0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively



Fig. 4 Structural equation model describing the influence of soil temperature and snowpack depth as drivers of soil total respiration  $(R_s)$  and its components  $(R_h$  and  $R_{\rm a}$ ) after fire disturbance. Solid boxes represent observed variables, while the hexagonal box depicts a composite variable (to account for a polynomial model structure). Single and double headed arrows represent relationships and correlations between variables, respectively; the strength and sign of relationships and correlations are depicted by standardized path coefficient. \*, \*\*, and \*\* represent significance at P < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively



strongly affect substrate supply and soil microbial activity (Monson et al. 2006; Tucker 2014). We therefore suggest that higher soil temperature and changed substrate supply from burned debris may be driving the increase of non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$ : $R_{\rm s}$  after fire.

Fire severity has a strong effect on the components of nongrowing season  $R_s$  with the effect of fire depending on severity and duration, which may account for the divergence in our  $R_s$ response to fire (Czimczik et al. 2006; Meigs et al. 2009; Nave et al. 2011; Richards et al. 2012; Song et al. 2018; Uribe et al. 2013). High severity fires have greater negative effects on ecosystem processes than that of low severity fires (Dooley and Treseder 2012; Martínez-García et al. 2017; Plaza-Álvarez



**Fig. 5** Temperature sensitivity ( $Q_{10}$ ) of non-growing season soil total respiration ( $R_s$ ), soil heterotrophic respiration ( $R_h$ ), and soil autotrophic respiration ( $R_a$ ) in control and burned stands. Different letters represent significance at P < 0.05. Values presented represent the average of three technical replications and repeated measurements with standard deviations

Der Springer INRA

et al. 2017). Previous studies have shown that the non-growing season  $R_s$  of Dahurian Larch in the high latitudes of China decreased by approximately 55% in burned stands, which may be attributed to the decrease of  $R_a$  after a high severity fire (Hu et al. 2017a). This is because high severity fires result in the understory shrubs, litter, and duff layers being completely burned, causing damage to plant roots (Hu et al. 2017a; O'Donnell et al. 2009). This result is inconsistent with the findings from our study, possibly because the fire in our study was only of medium severity. The rapid recovery of plant roots, which may be the main reason why there was no significant difference in non-growing season  $R_a$  between the control and burned stands (Hart et al. 2005; Johnson and Curtis 2001).

Recent meta-analyses and long-term experiments have shown that global  $R_{\rm h}$  is increasing, probably in response to environmental changes; therefore, climate-driven losses of soil C are currently occurring across many ecosystems, with a detectable and sustained trend emerging at the global scale (Crowther et al. 2016; Melillo et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2014a; Zhou et al. 2016). Our results indicate that an increase in forest fire frequency might accelerate the process of C loss in said ecosystems within the context of global warming and the intensification of the El Niño effect (Jolly et al. 2015; Yin et al. 2016). Fire disturbance will convert live vegetation into dead material that decomposes, changes ambient soil conditions and temporally decreases the ability of the ecosystem to gain C via plant photosynthesis, which in turn will change the relationship between net primary production (NPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP) (Keeley 2009; Smithwick et al. 2007). Fire could then drive NEP (i.e., NEP = NPP  $-R_h$ ) to be negative, and the ecosystem to become a source of C to the atmosphere (Harmon et al. 2011). Thus, fire as a potent factor should not be ignored in forest ecosystems, especially during the non-growing season as it is vulnerable to micro-environmental variation.

### 5.3 Effect of environmental factors on non-growing season soil respiration and its components

Previous studies have reported that the components of soil respiration exponentially increased with temperature increase during both the growing and non-growing seasons (Bondlamberty et al. 2004; Mo et al. 2005; Monson et al. 2006; Yi et al. 2020). Our study showed that wildfire increased the non-growing season  $R_{\rm s}$ . Consistent with our hypothesis and results from previous studies, snow depth as an insulating layer influences the non-growing season (i.e., winter) respiration (Aanderud et al. 2013; Brooks et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2010). Although snowpack depth decreased in the burned stands, it still had a significant quadratic function relationship with  $R_s$  and components after fire. Thus, the interaction between soil temperature and snowpack depth was the driving environmental factor controlling the non-growing season soil respiration and its components after fire disturbance.

Previous studies have found that higher soil moisture stimulated soil respiration when the soil water content was below optimum (Rey et al. 2011; Yohannes et al. 2011). Although we did find lower soil moisture in the burned stands, probably due to the higher solar radiation and thinner snow depth, soil moisture did not show a significant correlation with the nongrowing season  $R_s$  and its components after fire disturbance. This result may be due to the non-growing season soil moisture being abundant (> 30%) in the burned stands. Therefore, the effects of soil moisture on the non-growing season  $R_s$  and its components were minor or were counterbalanced by other environmental factors after fire.

In our study, the mean  $Q_{10}$  of the non-growing season  $R_s$ were 2.53 and 2.36 in the unburned control and burned stands, respectively. The results were higher than the global scale estimate (1.69) (Zhou et al. 2009). In addition, compared with the unburned control stands, the  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_{\rm h}$  was significantly greater in the burned stands (2.39 vs. 3.12), whereas there was no significant difference in  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_a$  between the unburned control stands and burned stands (2.69 vs. 2.29). These results were inconsistent with a previous study in which a high severity fire decreased the growing season  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_s$  and  $R_h$  in a forest of boreal China (Hu et al. 2017b). These contrasting results might be attributed to the high severity fire destroying the plant root structure and a loss of the labile fraction of soil organic C to the atmosphere, which restrained root and rhizosphere respiration and limited soil microorganisms activity (Conant et al. 2011; Thornley and Cannell 2001).  $R_{\rm h}$  was the dominant component of the non-growing season soil respiration efflux. The mean  $R_{\rm h}$  of the FTC period was 1.9 times greater than the non-growing season;  $R_{\rm h}$  accounted for 71% to 84% during this period. The higher temperature and soil nutrients could provide more activation energy based on Arrhenius kinetic theory. Activation energy is one of the dominant abiotic factors that is directly related to the substrate supply (Schipper et al. 2014). More recalcitrant substrates in burned areas, which are complex molecules and have higher activation energy, should have higher temperature sensitivity than those in unburned areas (Davidson and Janssens 2006; O'Neill et al. 2006). Therefore, the higher solar radiation and temperature combined with soil nutrient content in the burned areas could promote the microbial decomposition leading to the higher non-growing season  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_h$  after fire (Mikan et al. 2002; Pan et al. 2013).

In the present study, we found that fire led to the nongrowing season  $R_h$  significantly increasing after a fire disturbance. Considering that temperate and boreal forests have been experiencing a significant increasing trend of fire occurrence caused by global warming and cold months have been facing even faster warming than the growing season during the past decades (Hantson et al. 2015; Jolly et al. 2015; Piao et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2013), our study suggests that forest fires create an increase of non-growing season  $R_h:R_s$ , which will potentially decrease the amount of net C stored in forest ecosystems.

### 6 Conclusion

In summary, the present study explored the effects of recent fire disturbance on the components of non-growing season  $R_s$  $(R_{\rm h} \text{ and } R_{\rm a})$  as well as their  $Q_{10}$  in a cold temperate forest in northeast China. Our results revealed that forest fires significantly increased the non-growing season  $R_{\rm h}$  and also drove the  $R_{\rm h}$ :  $R_{\rm s}$  increase that was found in burned stands. The  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_{\rm h}$ significantly increased in the burned stands. The interaction between soil temperature and snowpack depth was the driving environmental factor controlling the non-growing season soil respiration and its components after fire. Our study highlights that fire is a potent factor on the components of the soil respiration and should not be ignored in forest ecosystem C cycling, especially during the non-growing season as it is vulnerable to micro-environmental variation. Considering that temperate and boreal forests have been experiencing a significant increasing trend of fire occurrence caused by global warming and that cold months have been facing even faster warming than the growing season during the past decades, long-term studies involving diverse ecosystems are required to better elucidate mechanisms that have been found during the non-growing season  $R_s$  under an increasing trend of fire occurrence.



### Appendix



**Fig. 6** Variation of the ratio of soil heterotrophic respiration rate  $(R_h)$  to soil total respiration  $(R_s)$  in the control (**a**) and burned stands (**b**). Values represent the average of three technical replications and repeated measurements with standard deviations

	Non-growing season		FTC period	
	Control stands	Burned stands	Control stands	Burned stands
R <sub>s</sub>	$0.59 \pm 0.19$	0.72 ± 0.15	$1.07 \pm 0.40$	1.15 ± 0.25
R <sub>h</sub>	$0.32\pm0.14$	$0.47\pm0.15$	$0.64\pm0.30$	$0.91\pm0.31$
R <sub>a</sub>	$0.27\pm0.09$	$0.25\pm0.07$	$0.43\pm0.12$	$0.24\pm0.07$

**Table 7** The parameters of the equations for the relationship of soil respiration and its component  $(R_s, R_h, R_a)$  with soil temperature, soil moisture, and snow depth (cm) for control and burned stands.

Factor	Control stands			Burned stands	Burned stands		
	Equation	$R^2$	Р	Equation	$R^2$	Р	
<i>f</i> (W)							
-	$R_{\rm s}$ =0.5199+0.002 W	0	NS	$R_{\rm s}$ =1.679–0.0274 W	0.092	NS	
	$R_{\rm s} = 0.8502 + e^{0.9646 \rm W}$	0	NS	$R_{\rm s} = 1.446 + e^{0.9825 \rm W}$	0.085	NS	
	$R_{\rm s} = -0.7126 + 0.0608 \text{ W} - 0.0006 \text{ W}^2$	0.075	NS	$R_{\rm s}$ =-2.1717+0.1997 W-0.0033W <sup>2</sup>	0.102	NS	
	$R_{\rm h}$ =-0.3555+0.012 W	0.182	NS	$R_{\rm h}$ =0.7127–0.0062 W	0.031	NS	
	$R_{\rm h} = 0.8796 + e^{1.0112 \rm W}$	0.115	NS	$R_{\rm h} = 1.3615 + e^{0.99467 \rm W}$	0.037	NS	
	$R_{\rm h}$ =-3.4022+0.1151 W-0.0008W <sup>2</sup>	0.373	*	$R_{\rm h}$ =-3.4022+3.5239 W-5.049W <sup>2</sup>	0.062	NS	
	$R_{\rm a}$ =0.3130–0.0001 W	0	NS	$R_{\rm a}$ =0.9059–0.01895 W	0.304	NS	
	$R_{\rm a} = 1.6433 + e^{0.995W}$	0.115	NS	$R_{\rm a} = 0.7881 + e^{0.9711 \rm W}$	0.272	NS	
	$R_{\rm a}$ =-0.7044+4.3104 W-4.3739W <sup>2</sup>	0.124	NS	$R_{\rm a}$ =-0.8713+0.0889 W-0.0019W <sup>2</sup>	0.309	NS	
<i>f</i> (S)							
	$R_{\rm s}$ =0.7301–0.01S	0.042	NS	$R_{\rm s}$ =0.8474–0.0148S	0.054	NS	
	$R_{\rm s} = 0.6711 + e^{0.9831\rm{S}}$	0	NS	$R_{\rm s} = 0.8179 + e^{0.9938\rm S}$	0.022	NS	
	$R_{\rm s} = 1.0853 - 0.0879 {\rm S} + 0.0027 {\rm S}^2$	0.293	NS	$R_{\rm s} = 1.3473 - 0.1315S + 0.0044S^2$	0.454	*	
	$R_{\rm h}$ =0.5307–0.0154S	0.264	*	$R_{\rm h}$ =0.7844–0.0291S	0.286	*	
	$R_{\rm h} = 0.7078 + e^{0.99998}$	0.222	*	$R_{\rm h} = 0.7855 + e^{0.83148}$	0.183	NS	
	$R_{\rm h} = 0.6576 - 0.04398 + 0.00108^2$	0.343	*	$R_{\rm h} = 1.011 - 0.1071  \mathrm{S} + 0.0036  \mathrm{S}^2$	0.442	*	
	$R_{\rm a}$ =0.2226–0.0034S	0.021	NS	$R_{\rm a}$ =0.1725–0.0074S	0.095	NS	
	$R_{\rm a} = 0.4249 + e^{1.00798}$	0.029	NS	$R_{\rm a} = 0.4569 + e^{1.00576S}$	0.019	NS	
	$R_{\rm a} = 0.4654 - 0.05 \text{S} + 0.0018 \text{S}^2$	0.51	**	$R_{\rm a} = 04088 - 0.04146  {\rm s} + 0.0019  {\rm s}^2$	0.386	*	

Statistical significant levels: NS, not significant; \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represents significant at P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively

Acknowledgements We greatly appreciate the "Northern Forest Fire Management Key Laboratory" of the State Forestry and Grassland Bureau and the "National Innovation Alliance of Wildland Fire Prevention and Control Technology", China, for supporting this research. We also greatly thank the staff of the Maoershan Mountains Ecological Research Station for their field assistance.

Funding This research was financially supported by:

1. National Natural Science Foundation (No. 32001324).

2. Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2572019BA03).

Data availability The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the Zenodo repository, https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.4549901

### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### References

Aanderud ZT, Jones SE, Schoolmaster RD, Fierer N, Lennon JT (2013) Sensitivity of soil respiration and microbial communities to altered snowfall. Soil Biol Biochem 57:217–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2012.07.022

- Abatzoglou JT, Williams AP (2016) Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:11770–11775. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607171113
- Barba J, Cueva A, Bahn M, Barron-Gafford GA, Bond-Lamberty B, Hanson PJ, Jaimes A, Kulmala L, Pumpanen J, Scott RL, Wohlfahrt G, Vargas R, (2018) Comparing ecosystem and soil respiration: review and key challenges of tower-based and soil measurements. Agric For Meteorol 249:434–443. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.028
- Bond-Lamberty B, Thomson A (2010) Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record. Nature 464:579. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature08930
- Bond-lamberty B, Wang CK, Gower ST (2004) A global relationship between the heterotrophic and autotrophic components of soil respiration. Glob Chang Biol 10:1756–1766. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2486.2004.00816.x
- Bond-Lamberty B, Bailey VL, Chen M, Gough CM, Vargas R (2018) Globally rising soil heterotrophic respiration over recent decades. Nature 560:80. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0358-x
- Brooks PD, McKnight D, Elder K (2005) Carbon limitation of soil respiration under winter snowpacks: potential feedbacks between growing season and winter carbon fluxes. Glob Chang Biol 11: 231–238. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00877.x
- Brooks PD, Grogan P, Templer PH, Groffman P, Öquist MG, Schimel JP (2011) Carbon and nitrogen cycling in snow-covered environments. Geogr Compass 5:682–699. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198. 2011.00420.x
- Chen DM, Li JJ, Lan ZC, Hu SJ, Bai YF (2016a) Soil acidification exerts a greater control on soil respiration than soil nitrogen availability in



grasslands subjected to long-term nitrogen enrichment. Funct Ecol 30:658–669. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12525

- Chen J, Luo YQ, Xia JY, Shi Z, Jiang LF, Niu SL, Zhou XH, Cao JJ (2016b) Differential responses of ecosystem respiration components to experimental warming in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan plateau. Agric For Meteorol 220:21–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet.2016.01.010
- Cohen J, Entekhabi D (1999) Eurasian snow cover variability and northern hemisphere climate predictability. Geophys Res Lett 26:345– 348. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GL900321
- Conant RT, Ryan MG, Agren GI, Birge HE, Davidson EA, Eliasson PE, Evans SE, Frey SD, Giardina CP, Hopkins FM, Hyvonen R, Kirschbaum MUF, Lavallee JM, Leifeld J, Parton WJ, Steinweg JM, Wallenstein MD, Wetterstedt JAM, Bradford MA (2011) Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates - synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. Glob Chang Biol 17: 3392–3404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02496.x
- Crowther TW, Todd-Brown KEO, Rowe CW, Wieder WR, Carey JC, Machmuller MB, Snoek BL, Fang S, Zhou G, Allison SD, Blair JM, Bridgham SD, Burton AJ, Carrillo Y, Reich PB, Clark JS, Classen AT, Dijkstra FA, Elberling B, Emmett BA, Estiarte M, Frey SD, Guo J, Harte J, Jiang L, Johnson BR, Kröel-Dulay G, Larsen KS, Laudon H, Lavallee JM, Luo Y, Lupascu M, Ma LN, Marhan S, Michelsen A, Mohan J, Niu S, Pendall E, Peñuelas J, Pfeifer-Meister L, Poll C, Reinsch S, Reynolds LL, Schmidt IK, Sistla S, Sokol NW, Templer PH, Treseder KK, Welker JM, Bradford MA (2016) Quantifying global soil carbon losses in response to warming. Nature 540:104. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20150
- Czimczik CI, Trumbore SE, Carbone MS, Winston GC (2006) Changing sources of soil respiration with time since fire in a boreal forest. Glob Chang Biol 12:957–971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006. 01107.x
- Danco JF, DeAngelis AM, Raney BK, Broccoli AJ (2016) Effects of a warming climate on daily snowfall events in the northern hemisphere. J Clim 29:6295–6318. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0687.1
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. Nature 440:165. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04514
- Davidson EA, Belk E, Boone RD (1998) Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. Glob Chang Biol 4:217– 227. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00128.x
- Decina SM, Hutyra LR, Gately CK, Getson JM, Reinmann AB, Gianotti AGS, Templer PH (2016) Soil respiration contributes substantially to urban carbon fluxes in the greater Boston area. Environ Pollut 212:433–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.012
- Dooley SR, Treseder KK (2012) The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of field studies. Biogeochemistry 109:49–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-011-9633-8
- Fahnestock JT, Jones MH, Brooks PD, Walker DA, Welker JM (1998) Winter and early spring CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from tundra communities of northern Alaska. J Geophys Res Atmos 103:29023–29027. https:// doi.org/10.1029/98JD00805
- Fang C, Li FM, Pei JY, Ren J, Gong YH, Yuan ZG, Ke WB, Zheng Y, Bai XK, Ye JS (2018) Impacts of warming and nitrogen addition on soil autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration in a semi-arid environment. Agric For Meteorol 248:449–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet.2017.10.032
- Fox J (2012) Package 'car'. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/car/car.pdf
- Frank D, Reichstein M, Bahn M, Thonicke K, Frank D, Mahecha MD, Smith P, Van der Velde M, Vicca S, Babst F, Beer C, Buchmann N, Canadell JG, Ciais P, Cramer W, Ibrom A, Miglietta F, Poulter B, Rammig A, Seneviratne SI, Walz A, Wattenbach M, Zavala MA, Zscheischler J (2015) Effects of climate extremes on the terrestrial



carbon cycle: concepts, processes and potential future impacts. Glob Chang Biol 21:2861–2880. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12916

- Gao DC, Hagedorn F, Zhang L, Liu J, Qu GF, Sun JF, Peng B, Fan ZZ, Zheng JQ, Jiang P, Bai E (2018) Small and transient response of winter soil respiration and microbial communities to altered snow depth in a mid-temperate forest. Appl Soil Ecol 130:40–49. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.05.010
- Gaumont-Guay D, Black TA, Barr AG, Jassal RS, Nesic Z (2008) Biophysical controls on rhizospheric and heterotrophic components of soil respiration in a boreal black spruce stand. Tree Physiol, 28: 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.2.161
- Gavazov K, Ingrisch J, Hasibeder R, Mills RTE, Buttler A, Gleixner G, Pumpanen J, Bahn M (2017) Winter ecology of a subalpine grassland: effects of snow removal on soil respiration, microbial structure and function. Sci Total Environ 590:316–324. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.010
- Gower ST, Krankina O, Olson RJ, Apps M, Linder S, Wang C (2001) Net primary production and carbon allocation patterns of boreal Forest ecosystems. Ecol Appl 11:1395–1411. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 3060928
- Groisman PY, Karl TR, Knight RW, Stenchikov GL (1994) Changes of snow cover, temperature, and radiative heat balance over the northern hemisphere. J Clim 7:1633–1656. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1994)0072.0.CO;2
- Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT, Andrews JA (2000) Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: a review of methods and observations. Biogeochemistry 48: 115–146. https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1006244819642
- Harmon ME, Bond-Lamberty B, Tang JW, Vargas R (2011) Heterotrophic respiration in disturbed forests: a review with examples from North America. J Geophys Res-Biogeo 116:1269–1300. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001495
- Hart SC, DeLuca TH, Newman GS, MacKenzie MD, Boyle SI (2005) Post-fire vegetative dynamics as drivers of microbial community structure and function in forest soils. For Ecol Manag 220:166– 184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.012
- Hibbard KA, Law BE, Reichstein M, Sulzman J (2005) An analysis of soil respiration across northern hemisphere temperate ecosystems. Biogeochemistry 73:29–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-2946-0
- Hu TX, Sun L (2021) Data for 'Changes in the non-growing season soil heterotrophic respiration rate are driven by environmental factors after fire in a cold temperate forest ecosystem'. [data set]. V1. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4549901
- Hu TX, Sun L, Hu HQ, Guo FT (2017a) Effects of fire disturbance on soil respiration in the non-growing season in a *Larix gmelinii* forest in the Daxing'an mountains, China. PLoS One 12:e0180214. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180214
- Hu TX, Sun L, Hu HQ, Weise DR, Guo FT (2017b) Soil respiration of the Dahurian larch (*Larix gmelinii*) Forest and the response to fire disturbance in Da Xing'an mountains, China. Sci Rep 7:2967. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03325-4
- Jiang YY, Rocha AV, O'donnell JA, Drysdale JA, Rastetter EB, Shaver GR, Zhuang QL (2015) Contrasting soil thermal responses to fire in Alaskan tundra and boreal forest. J Geophys Res-Earth 120:363– 378. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003180
- Jiao Z, Wang X (2019) Contrasting Rhizospheric and heterotrophic components of soil respiration during growing and non-growing seasons in a temperate deciduous Forest. Forests 10:8. https://doi.org/10. 3390/f10010008
- Johnson DW, Curtis PS (2001) Effects of forest management on soil C and N storage: meta analysis. For Ecol Manag 140:227–238. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00282-6
- Jolly WM, Cochrane MA, Freeborn PH, Holden ZA, Brown TJ, Williamson GJ, Bowman DMJS (2015) Climate-induced variations

in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013. Nat Commun 6:7537. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8537

- Kasischke ES, Stocks BJ (2000) Fire, climate change, and carbon cycling in the boreal Forest. Springer, New York
- Keeley JE (2009) Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested usage. Int J Wildland Fire 18:116–126. https:// doi.org/10.1071/WF07049
- Kim YS (2013) Soil-atmosphere exchange of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in northern temperate forests: effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, N deposition and forest fire. Eurasian J For Res 16:1–43. http:// eprints.lib.hokudai.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/2115/53369/1/E
- Lai LM, Zhao XC, Jiang LH, Wang YJ, Luo LG, Zheng YR, Chen X, Rimmington GM (2012) Soil respiration in different agricultural and natural ecosystems in an arid region. PLoS One 7:e48011. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048011
- Li D, Zhou X, Wu L, Zhou J, Luo Y (2013) Contrasting responses of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration to experimental warming in a winter annual-dominated prairie. Glob Chang Biol 19:3553– 3564. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12273
- Liu YQ, Goodrick S, Heilman W (2014) Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: wildfire–climate interactions. For Ecol Manag 317:80– 96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.020
- Liu YC, Liu SR, Wan SQ, Wang JX, Luan JW, Wang H (2016) Differential responses of soil respiration to soil warming and experimental throughfall reduction in a transitional oak forest in Central China. Agric For Meteorol 226:186–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet.2016.06.003
- Lloyd J, Taylor JA (1994) On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. Funct Ecol 8:315. https://doi.org/10.2307/2389824
- Luo YQ, Zhou XH (2006) Soil respiration and the environment. Academic, San Diego. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-088782-8.X5000-1
- Marañón-Jiménez S, Castro J, Kowalski AS, Serrano-Ortiz P, Reverter BR, Sánchez-Cañete EP, Zamora R (2011) Post-fire soil respiration in relation to burnt wood management in a Mediterranean mountain ecosystem. For Ecol Manag 261:1436–1447. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.foreco.2011.01.030
- Martínez-García E, López-Serrano FR, Dadi T, García-Morote FA, Andrés-Abellán M, Pumpanen J, Rubio E (2017) Medium-term dynamics of soil respiration in a Mediterranean mountain ecosystem: the effects of burn severity, post-fire burnt-wood management, and slope-aspect. Agric For Meteorol 233:195–208. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.agrformet.2016.11.192
- Meigs GW, Donato DC, Campbell JL, Martin JG, Law BE (2009) Forest fire impacts on carbon uptake, storage, and emission: the role of burn severity in the eastern cascades, Oregon. Ecosystems 12: 1246–1267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-009-9285-x
- Melillo JM, Frey SD, DeAngelis KM, Werner WJ, Bernard MJ, Bowles FP, Pold G, Knorr MA, Grandy AS (2017) Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world. Science 358:101–105. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aan2874
- Mendiburu F (2017) Agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research. R package version 1.2–8. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https://rdrr.io/cran/agricolae/
- Mikan CJ, Schimel JP, Doyle AP (2002) Temperature controls of microbial respiration in arctic tundra soils above and below freezing. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1785–1795. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00168-2
- Mo W, Lee MS, Uchida M, Inatomi M, Saigusa N, Mariko S, Koizumi H (2005) Seasonal and annual variations in soil respiration in a cooltemperate deciduous broad-leaved forest in Japan. Agric For Meteorol 134:81–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.08. 015
- Monson RK, Lipson DL, Burns SP, Turnipseed AA, Delany AC, Williams MW, Schmidt SK (2006) Winter forest soil respiration

controlled by climate and microbial community composition. Nature 439:711. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04555

- Munoz-rojas M, Lewandrowski W, Erickson TE, Dixon KW, Merritt DJ (2016) Soil respiration dynamics in fire affected semi-arid ecosystems: effects of vegetation type and environmental factors. Sci Total Environ 572:1385–1394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016. 02.086
- Nave LE, Vance ED, Swanston CW, Curtis PS (2011) Fire effects on temperate forest soil C and N storage. Ecol Appl 21:1189–1201. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0660.1
- Nobrega S, Grogan P (2007) Deeper snow enhances winter respiration from both plant-associated and bulk soil carbon pools in birch hummock tundra. Ecosystems 10:419–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10021-007-9033-z
- O'donnell JA, Turetsky MR, Harden JW, Manies KL, PruettL LE, Shetler G, Neff JC (2009) Interactive effects of fire, soil climate, and moss on CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in black spruce ecosystems of interior Alaska. Ecosystems 12:57–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9206-4
- O'Neill KP, Richter DD, Kasischke ES (2006) Succession-driven changes in soil respiration following fire in black spruce stands of interior Alaska. Biogeochemistry 80:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-5964-7
- Pan T, Wu SH, Dai EF, Liu YJ (2013) Estimating the daily global solar radiation spatial distribution from diurnal temperature ranges over the Tibetan plateau in China. Appl Ergon 107:384–393. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.02.053
- Pereira P, Cerdà A, Lopez AJ, Zavala LM, Mataix-Solera J, Arcenegui V, Misiune I, Keesstra S, Novara A (2016) Short-term vegetation recovery after a grassland fire in Lithuania: the effects of fire severity, slope position and aspect. Land Degrad Dev 27:1523–1534. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2498
- Piao SL, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Viovy N, Demarty J (2007) Growing season extension and its impact on terrestrial carbon cycle in the northern hemisphere over the past 2 decades. Global Biogeochem Cy 21:GB3018. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gb002888
- Plaza-Álvarez PA, Lucas-Borja ME, Sagra J, Moya D, Fontúrbel T, de las Heras J (2017) Soil respiration changes after prescribed fires in Spanish Black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn. Ssp. salzmannii) monospecific and mixed Forest stands. Forests 8:248. https://doi.org/10.3390/ f8070248
- Pries CEH, Schuur EAG, Natali SM, Crummer KG (2016) Old soil carbon losses increase with ecosystem respiration in experimentally thawed tundra. Nat Clim Chang 6:214. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nclimate2830
- R Development Core Team (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https://www.R-Project.org
- Raich JW, Schlesinger WH (1992) The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. Tellus 44: 81–99. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1992.t01-1-00001.x
- Reinmann AB, Templer PH (2018) Increased soil respiration in response to experimentally reduced snow cover and increased soil freezing in a temperate deciduous forest. Biogeochemistry 140:359–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0497-z
- Rey A, Pegoraro E, Oyonarte C, Were A, Escribano P, Raimundo J (2011) Impact of land degradation on soil respiration in a steppe (*Stipa tenacissima* L.) semi-arid ecosystem in the SE of Spain. Soil Biol Biochem 43:393–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio. 2010.11.007
- Richards AE, Dathe J, Cook GD (2012) Fire interacts with season to influence soil respiration in tropical savannas. Soil Biol Biochem 53:90–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.05.009
- Rosseel Y (2012) Lavaan: an R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–12 (BETA). J Stat Softw 48:1–36. https:// doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02



- Ruehr NK, Buchmann N (2010) Soil respiration fluxes in a temperate mixed forest: seasonality and temperature sensitivities differ among microbial and root–rhizosphere respiration. Tree Physiol 30:165– 176. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpp106
- Rustad L, Campbell J, Marion G, Norby R, Mitchell M, Hartley A, Cornelissen J, Gurevitch J (2001) A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming. Oecologia 126: 543–562. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420000544
- Santin C, Doerr SH, Kane ES, Masiello CA, Ohlson M, de la Rosa JM, Preston CM, Dittmar T (2016) Towards a global assessment of pyrogenic carbon from vegetation fires. Glob Chang Biol 22:76– 91. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12985
- Savage K, Davidson EA, Tang J (2013) Diel patterns of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration among phenological stages. Glob Chang Biol 19:1151–1159 doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12108
- Schimel D, Baker D (2002) Carbon cycle: the wildfire factor. Nature 420: 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/420029a
- Schipper LA, Hobbs JK, Rutledge S, Arcus VL (2014) Thermodynamic theory explains the temperature optima of soil microbial processes and high  $Q_{10}$  values at low temperatures. Glob Chang Biol 20:3578–3586. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12596
- Seidl R, Thom D, Kautz M, Martin-Benito D, Peltoniemi M, Vacchiano G, Wild J, Ascoli D, Petr M, Honkaniemi J, Lexer MJ, Trotsiuk V, Mairota P, Svoboda M, Fabrika M, Nagel TA, Reyer CPO (2017) Forest disturbances under climate change. Nat Clim Chang 7:395. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3303
- Sheng H, Yang YS, Yang ZJ, Chen GS, Xie JS, Guo JF, Zou SQ (2009) The dynamic response of soil respiration to land-use changes in subtropical China. Glob Chang Biol 16:1107–1121. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01988.x
- Shi WY, Zhang JG, Yan MJ, Yamanaka N, Du S (2012) Seasonal and diurnal dynamics of soil respiration fluxes in two typical forests on the semiarid loess plateau of China: temperature sensitivities of autotrophs and heterotrophs and analyses of integrated driving factors. Soil Biol Biochem 52:99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio. 2012.04.020
- Smithwick EAH, Harmon ME, Domingo JB (2007) Changing temporal patterns of forest carbon stores and net ecosystem carbon balance: the stand to landscape transformation. Langscape Ecol 22:77–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-006-9006-1
- Soil Survey Staff (2014) Keys to soil taxonomy, 12th edn. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC
- Song XY, Wang GX, Ran F, Chang RY, Song CL, Xiao Y (2017) Effects of topography and fire on soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> flux in boreal forest underlain by permafrost in Northeast China. Ecol Eng 106:35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.05.033
- Song J, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Yan T, Shen ZH, Piao SL (2018) Effects of wildfire on soil respiration and its heterotrophic and autotrophic components in a montane coniferous forest. J. Plant Ecol 12:336– 345 https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rty031
- Tang J, Misson L, Gershenson A, Cheng W, Goldstein AH (2005) Continuous measurements of soil respiration with and without roots in a ponderosa pine plantation in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. Agricult Forest Meteorol 132:212–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet.2005.07.011
- Thornley JHM, Cannell MGR (2001) Soil carbon storage response to temperature: an hypothesis. Ann Bot-London 87:591–598. https:// doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2001.1372
- Throop HL, Abu Salem M, Whitford WG (2017) Fire enhances litter decomposition and reduces vegetation cover influences on decomposition in a dry woodland. Plant Ecol 218:799–811. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11258-017-0730-1
- Trumbore S, Brando P, Hartmann H (2015) Forest health and global change. Science 349:819–822. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aac6759

Springer INRA

- Tucker C (2014) Reduction of air- and liquid water-filled soil pore space with freezing explains high temperature sensitivity of soil respiration below 0°C. Soil Biol Biochem 78:90–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2014.06.018
- Tucker CL, Young JM, Williams DG, Ogle K (2014) Process-based isotope partitioning of winter soil respiration in a subalpine ecosystem reveals importance of rhizospheric respiration. Biogeochemistry 121:389–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-014-0008-9
- Uchida M, Mo W, Nakatsubo T, Tsuchiya Y, Horikoshi T, Koizumi H (2005) Microbial activity and litter decomposition under snow cover in a cool-temperate broad-leaved deciduous forest. Agric For Meteorol 134:102–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005. 11.003
- Uribe C, Inclán R, Sánchez DM, Clavero MA, Fernández AM, Morante R, Cardeña A, Blanco A, Van Miegroet H (2013) Effect of wildfires on soil respiration in three typical Mediterranean forest ecosystems in Madrid, Spain. Plant Soil 369:403–420. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11104-012-1576-x
- Wang CK, Yang JY, Zhang QZ (2006) Soil respiration in six temperate forests in China. Glob Chang Biol 12:2103–2114. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01234.x
- Wang W, Peng SS, Wang T, Fang JY (2010) Winter soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its contribution to annual soil respiration in different ecosystems of a forest-steppe ecotone, North China. Soil Biol Biochem 42:451–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.028
- Wang T, Ciais P, Piao SL, Ottlé C, Brender P, Maignan F, Arain A, Cescatti A, Gianelle D, Gough C, Gu L, Lafleur P, Laurila T, Marcolla B, Margolis H, Montagnani L, Moors E, Saigusa N, Vesala T, Wohlfahrt G, Koven C, Black A, Dellwik E, Don A, Hollinger D, Knohl A, Monson R, Munger J, Suyker A, Varlagin A, Verma S (2011) Controls on winter ecosystem respiration in temperate and boreal ecosystems. Biogeosciences 8:2009–2025. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-2009-2011
- Wang CK, Han Y, Chen JQ, Wang XC, Zhang QZ, Bond-Lamberty B (2013) Seasonality of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a temperate forest: biophysical effects of snowpack and spring freeze–thaw cycles. Agric For Meteorol 177:83–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.04. 008
- Wang X, Liu LL, Piao SL, Janssens IA, Tang JW, Liu WX, Chi YG, Wang J, Xu S (2014a) Soil respiration under climate warming: differential response of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration. Glob Chang Biol 20:3229–3237. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12620
- Wang YW, Liu HY, Chung H, Yu LF, Mi ZR, Geng Y, Jing X, Wang SP, Zeng H, Cao GM, Zhao XQ, He JS (2014b) Non-growing-season soil respiration is controlled by freezing and thawing processes in the summer monsoon-dominated Tibetan alpine grassland. Global Biogeochem Cy 28:1081–1095. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2013gb004760
- Wüthrich C, Schaub D, Weber M, Marxer P, Conedera M (2002) Soil respiration and soil microbial biomass after fire in a sweet chestnut forest in southern Switzerland. Catena 48:201–215. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0341-8162(01)00191-6
- Xu ZF, Yin HJ, Zhao CZ, Xiong P, Liu Q (2017) Responses of soil respiration to warming vary between growing season and nongrowing season in a mountain forest of southwestern Chin. Can J Soil Sci 98:70–76. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2017-0036
- Yi Y, Kimball JS, Watts JD, Natali SM, Zona D, Liu J, Miller CE (2020) Investigating the sensitivity of soil heterotrophic respiration to recent snow cover changes in Alaska using a satellite-based permafrost carbon model. Biogeosciences 17:5861–5882. https://doi.org/10. 5194/bg-17-5861-2020
- Yin Y, Ciais P, Chevallier F, van der Werf GR, Fanin T, Broquet G, Boesch H, Cozic A, Hauglustaine D, Sophie S, Wang YL (2016) Variability of fire carbon emissions in equatorial Asia and its nonlinear sensitivity to El Niño. Geophys Res Lett 43:10,472–10,479. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl070971

- Yohannes Y, Shibistova O, Abate A, Fetene M, Guggenberger G (2011) Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in an Afromontane forest of Ethiopia as driven by seasonality and tree species. For Ecol Manag 261:1090–1098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.12.032
- Zeng XH, Song YG, Zeng CM, Zhang WJ, He SB (2016) Partitioning soil respiration in two typical forests in semi-arid regions, North China. Catena 147:536–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016. 08.009
- Zhou T, Shi PJ, Hui DF, Luo YQ (2009) Global pattern of temperature sensitivity of soil heterotrophic respiration ( $Q_{10}$ ) and its implications for carbon-climate feedback. J Geophys Res-Biogeosci 114:271–274. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000850
- Zhou LY, Zhou XH, Shao JJ, Nie YY, He YH, Jiang LL, Wu ZT, Bai SH (2016) Interactive effects of global change factors on soil respiration and its components: a meta-analysis. Glob Chang Biol 22:3157–3169. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13253
- Zou J, Tobin B, Luo Y, Osborne B (2018) Response of soil respiration and its components to experimental warming and water addition in a temperate Sitka spruce forest ecosystem. Agricult Forest Meteorol 260:204–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.06.020

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.