

RESEARCH PAPER



Open Access



Trade-offs among leaf toughness, constitutive chemical defense, and growth rates in oaks are influenced by the level of leaf mass per area

Domingo Sancho-Knapik^{1,2*}, Rubén Martín-Sánchez¹, David Alonso-Forn¹, José Javier Pequero-Pina^{1,2} Juan Pedro Ferrio^{1,3} and Eustaguio Gil-Pelegrín⁴

Abstract

Key message Among the variety of leaf defensive strategies to counteract herbivory attacks, the oak species analyzed in this study maximize investment in no more than one, with high-LMA oaks developing very tough leaves and low-LMA oaks favoring between high concentrations of condensed tannins or high growth rates.

Context Plants develop a variety of defense strategies to counteract herbivory attacks, from physical and chemical defenses to tolerance strategies. Tradeoffs between strategies have been widely assessed from a resource allocation perspective, but there is a need to consider eventual interactions among them.

Aim We evaluate the among-species tradeoff between three main constitutive plant defense traits, while considering the leaf mass per area ratio (LMA) as a proxy of leaf construction investment on area basis.

Methods Leaf toughness measured as work of fracture, condensed tannins, and relative growth rate (RGR) were analyzed in a set of 19 Quercus L. species with contrasting LMA.

Results Most species had low values either in two or in the three traits analyzed. Moreover, the highest values of work of fracture appeared in the species with high LMA; the highest values of condensed tannins were found in the species with the lowest LMA; and high values of RGR were measured in species with intermediate or lower values of LMA.

Conclusion Oaks showed a trade-off among leaf defensive strategies influenced by LMA. Oaks with high LMA developed very tough leaves while oaks with low LMA presented lower values of toughness but favored between one of the two other strategies.

Keywords Condensed tannins, Defensive traits, Relative growth rate, Quercus, Work of fracture

Handling editor: Erwin Dreyer.

*Correspondence: Domingo Sancho-Knapik dsancho@cita-aragon.es Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

1 Introduction

Plant herbivory, referred to the consumption of all or a part of a plant, is a key ecological process affecting primary production, vegetation shape, and composition, which is likely to intensify in temperate regions due to global warming (Schowalter 2006; Coley and Barone 1996; Schemske et al. 2009; Currano et al. 2010; Birkemoe et al. 2016; Nakamura et al. 2021). To counteract herbivory attacks, plants have developed a variety of defense mechanisms (Rasmann et al. 2014; Dostálek et al. 2016). Direct defenses are the first kind and comprise physical and chemical defenses that increase plant resistance and reduce plant palatability (Schoonhoven et al. 2005). The second category, referred to as indirect defenses, is the attraction with volatile organic compounds of the herbivores' natural enemies (Dicke and Baldwin 2010; Lehrman et al. 2013). The third type of defense mechanism is the tolerance of plants to herbivory, which measures how plants are able to overcome herbivory damage (Strauss and Agrawal 1999; Schoonhoven et al. 2005).

Focusing on the leaf, the most common physical defenses analyzed in herbivory studies are those associated with its mechanical resistance, specifically, the leaf toughness (Pearse and Hipp 2009; 2012; Sanson et al. 2001; Onoda et al. 2011). Leaf toughness is defined as the resistance of the leaf to crack propagation and is a measure of the energy required to fracture the leaf. The calculation of the toughness is associated with the measurement of the work of fracture (WF) which needs a simultaneous measure of the punch strength-maximum force at which the leaf breaks-and the punch displacement (Vincent 1992; Wright and Vincent 1996). Leaves with higher toughness are those with higher values of work of fracture, and therefore, leaves with an improved mechanical resistance to predation (Vincent 1991). Work of fracture has also been correlated with leaf mass per area ratio (LMA) (e.g., Alonso-Forn et al. 2023). Due to this association, LMA has sometimes been used as an estimator of leaf toughness. However, leaves can show higher WF values than expected at a given LMA (Alonso-Forn et al. 2023). Thus, there is an inability of LMA to account adequately for the wide variation in WF indicating that there are variations in the mechanical properties that do not contribute to an increase in the accumulated mass per surface area (Alonso-Forn et al. 2023).

Leaf chemical protection includes secondary compounds known by their antiherbivore actions (Seigler 1998; Lokvam et al. 2004; 2006; 2007). The most common and widespread secondary metabolites analyzed in herbivory studies are the tannins, including the condensed tannins also known as proanthocyanidins (Kursar et al. 2009; Pearse and Hipp 2009; Barbehenn and Constabel 2011; Eichenberg et al. 2015; Solla et al. 2016; Galmán et al. 2019; Fyllas et al. 2022). Condensed tannins cause oxidative damage within the epithelial cells of an insect's midgut by acting as toxins and feeding inhibitors (Barbehenn and Constabel 2011), although the level of such effects may depend on how the digestive system of the herbivore species interact with the plant-specific tannins (Barbehenn and Constabel 2011; Salminen and Karonen 2011; Büchel et al. 2016). Condensed tannins have been shown to most affect chewing insects damage in a set of oak species (Pearse and Hipp 2009) or have driven a latitudinal gradient in leaf herbivory when studying Quercus robur (Moreira et al. 2018). Condensed tannins have also been reported as a tolerance, rather than a chemistry resistance factor in aspens, indicating that condensed tannins may benefit plants under extreme herbivory through the improvement of the nutrient cycling (Madritch and Lindroth 2015).

The relative growth rate (RGR), or the rate of accumulation of new dry mass per unit of existing dry mass, has been shown to be the best predictor of tolerance to herbivory (Gianoli and Salgado-Luarte 2017). Having higher levels of relative growth rate, not only reduce leaf predation on the more vulnerable, young, expanding leaves (Aide and Londoño 1989; Moles and Westoby 2000) but also has reported to increase the tolerance to leaf herbivory through a greater ability to recover lost material (Danckwerts 1993; Strauss and Agrawal 1999; Gianoli and Salgado-Luarte 2017). Relative growth rate is an indirect measurement of the rate of resource acquisition. Thus, the faster a species accumulates biomass, the more carbon is available to increase growth of roots, shoots, and photosynthetic material for greater access to resources, which in turn promotes further biomass accumulation. In this sense, Gianoli and Salgado-Luarte (2017) found a strong positive correlation between RGR and tolerance to herbivory in a set of tree species from a temperate rainforest showing, effectively, that a higher RGR entails a higher tolerance.

Tradeoffs between the different defensive strategies have been widely assessed in herbivory studies to evaluate the investments from the perspective of resource allocation (Rohner and Ward 1997; Adams et al. 2009; Cárdenas et al. 2014). It has been proposed that resistance and tolerance are alternative plant strategies to deal with herbivore damage because if plant resistance mechanisms are relatively more costly or ineffective, herbivory might result in selection for tolerance because resistance is not a viable strategy (van der Meijden et al. 1988). In addition, it is also in agreement that maximizing multiple defensive strategies might not occur in the same individual as it is costly for a plant and allocating in defensive traits is assumed to reduce resource availability for other processes (Heil and Bostock 2002; Koricheva et al. 2004;

Ballhorn et al. 2008). In this regard, some previous studies showed that tree growth was negatively correlated with chemical defense, concluding that production of defensive compounds had a growth cost (Kosola et al. 2004; Stevens et al. 2007; Paul-Victor et al. 2010; Züst and Agrawal 2017; Cope et al. 2021; Perkovich and Ward 2021). Other studies found tradeoffs within resistance strategies, i.e., between physical and chemical defenses (e.g., Eichenberg et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016). However, some other studies did not find any tradeoff or found weak correlations between defensive traits (Gianoli and Salgado-Luarte 2017; Moreira and Pearse 2017). So far, most studies have only analyzed two of the three most important strategies (e.g., growth vs. chemistry, or physical vs. chemical defense), thus there is a need to consider eventual interactions among the three defense strategies.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the among-species tradeoff between three of the most important factors involved in plant defense described above: leaf toughness (physical defense), condensed tannins (chemical defense), and relative growth rate (tolerance strategy), in a set of *Quercus* L. species growing in a common garden. The genus Quercus is an excellent system to perform this research, since it not only minimizes phylogenetic variation (in comparison to studies conducted across diverse species) but also exhibits significant trait variation among species (Pearse and Hipp 2009; Sancho-Knapik et al. 2021). We analyzed the interspecific tradeoff by studying the constitutive levels (i.e., basal levels expressed at leaf maturation) of defensive traits (Karban 2011) while considering the leaf mass per area ratio as a proxy of leaf construction investment on an area basis (Escudero et al. 2017). We hypothesized that, due to tradeoffs between the alternative strategies, each oak species would tend to maximize one single of the three strategies. We also hypothesized that the strategy maximized by the oak species would depend on the species' leaf mass area and therefore on the species' leaf construction cost on area basis.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study system, plant material, and field site

To test our hypotheses, we used the dataset of Alonso-Forn et al. (2023), which included oak species with contrasting leaf toughness and leaf mass area. In addition, plant material for condensed tannins and relative growth rate was sampled on 19 oak species during the same year and in the same specimens as those described in Alonso-Forn et al. (2023). Briefly, plant material was collected from a living collection of oak species, maintained in an experimental field under uniform nutrient supply and light conditions, and with no water restrictions, located at CITA de Aragón (41.728° N, 0.821° W, 218 m a.s.l., Zaragoza, Spain). The common garden features Mediterranean climatic conditions with a long-term mean annual temperature of 15.4 °C and total annual precipitation of 298 mm. The study site was in a river terrace, with clayey silt soil of alluvial origin. Oak trees were ca. 20 years old; they were drop irrigated twice per week from spring to autumn. Plant material was collected from south-exposed branches of one individual per species during the early morning, stored in sealed plastic bags and carried to the laboratory in portable coolers. For condensed tannins we collected a set of 9 current year, fully developed, mature leaves per species. For growth analysis, we sampled two sets of 10 developing shoots (including leaves and the stem) per species spaced in time (see below for details).

2.2 Leaf toughness

Leaf toughness was directly obtained from the values of work of fracture (WF, J m⁻¹) that appear in the dataset of Alonso-Forn et al. (2023) which are openly available in TRY Plant Trait Database at http://doi.org/10.17871/ TRY.86. This previous study performed punch and die tests, which consisted on punching a hole through the leaf lamina and generating force–displacement curves. These mechanical tests were taken at room temperature on 10 full-hydrated leaves per species, with measurements avoiding major veins. The analysis of the force– displacement curves allowed to obtain the work of fracture as the area below the curve between the initial contact of the punch with the leaf and the highest load value registered.

2.3 Condensed tannins

Condensed tannin concentrations (CT, mg g⁻¹ dry weight) of oak leaves were determined colorimetrically following the butanol – HCl assay (Porter et al. 1986; Pearse and Hipp 2009; Grabber et al. 2013). Briefly, 500 mL of leaf extract were incubated with 3 mL of acidified butanol (BuOH-HCL) and 100 mL of ferric reagent at 100 °C for 60 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the absorbance of the mixture was read at 550 nm.

The dataset generated and the code used for the analyses during the current study are available in the citaREA repository (Sancho-Knapik et al. 2023).

2.4 Relative growth rate

Relative growth rate (RGR, d^{-1}) was measured for each species by making two harvests separated by a timeinterval (Paul-Victor et al. 2010). For each species, the first harvest took place 7 days after bud bursting (t_1); the second harvest took place 28 days after bud bursting (t_2). Figure 1 shows the time-interval period for each species as well as the temperature throughout the growing



Fig. 1 Temperature (T) throughout the growing season (grey line) and smothering of the data (red line). Arrows indicate the 21-day-period for the RGR calculation of each species. Values above arrows are the mean temperatures of each period

season and the growth mean temperature for each species (climatic data are from the nearest weather station, 1 km away).

As mentioned above, 10 shoots (including leaves and the stem) per species were collected from the southern exposure of the tree for each harvest. Then, shoots were oven dried for 3 d at 70 °C and weighed using an analytical balance (Sartorius AG Model BP221S; Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) obtaining the dry mass (M) of each shoot. RGR was then calculated as (Paul-Victor et al. 2010):

$$\mathrm{RGR} = \frac{\log(M_2/M_1)}{t_2 - t_1}$$

where M_1 and M_2 are the mean dry mass values of the 10 shoots recollected for each species during the first and the second harvest, respectively. Individual shoot dry mass values for each species are available in the citaREA repository (Sancho-Knapik et al. 2023).

2.5 Statistical analysis

For the evaluation of the results, species were classified according to the value of leaf mass per area (LMA, g m⁻²) reported in Alonso-Forn et al. (2023). Oak species with values of LMA higher than 151 g m⁻² were considered species with high LMA and, therefore, species with a high construction cost on an area basis. Species with values of LMA between 150 and 101 g m⁻² were considered species with intermediate values of LMA, and oak species with values of LMA lower than 100 g m⁻² were considered species with a low construction cost on an area basis.

Relationships between work of fracture, condensed tannins and RGR were first related two by two. Then,

values of the three defensive traits were normalized between 0 and 1 and were plotted in a three-dimension graph. To assess the probability to find a combination of two or more defensive traits, we divided the species in three categories, according to their position within the range of values (0=none of the variables in the upper half of the range; 1 =one variable in the upper half of the range; 2=two or more variables in the upper half of the range). Significance differences in the abundance of species among defense strategies were tested with a general linear model assuming a Poisson distribution using the basal function "glm" in R version 4.2.1 (R Core Team 2022). Finally, a principal components analysis (PCA) with two components was carried out to summarize the multivariate relationships among defensive traits and Quercus species.

3 Results

The three plant defense strategies showed a wide range of variation within our set of Quercus species studied. Leaf toughness, measured as work of fracture, ranged from the 0.07 J m⁻¹ found in *Q. lobata* to the 1.08 J m⁻¹ showed by Q. ilex subsp. rotundifolia (Fig. 2). Condensed tannins ranged from 9.6 mg g^{-1} of dry weight measured in Q. petraea to 109 mg g^{-1} d.w. found in Q. *lobata* (Fig. 2). RGR ranged from 0.037 day⁻¹ found for Q. lobata to 0.160 day⁻¹ measured in Q. muehlenbergii (Fig. 2). When arranging the oak species from highest to lowest leaf mass area (LMA), the highest values of work of fracture were measured in the species with the highest LMA, whereas the highest values of condensed tannins were found in the species with the lowest LMA (Fig. 2). By contrast, high values of RGR were measured in species with intermediate or lower values of LMA (Fig. 2). It



Fig. 2 Mean values and standard errors of work of fracture (WF), condensed tannins (CT) and relative growth rate (RGR) for the 19 oaks studied. Species are arranged from highest to lowest leaf mass area (LMA) and classified in three groups: 225 to 151, 150 to 101, and 100 to 50 g m⁻²

should be noted that, among the species with the lower values of LMA, there was not any oak species that maximized both condensed tannins and RGR (Fig. 2).

When the defensive traits were related two by two (Fig. 3a, b, c) or in the three-dimensional graph (Fig. 3d), we found that most species had relatively low values (i.e., normalized values below 0.5) either in two or in the three traits analyzed. In the categorization of species according to their defense strategies, we found 7 species with a limited defense specialization, i.e., none of the mechanisms in the upper half of the range, 11 species with one of the mechanisms in the upper half of the range, and only 1 species with two mechanisms in the upper half of the range (*Q. ilex subsp. ilex*) (Fig. 3d). The basal function "glm" to assess differences between categories showed no significant differences in the number of species with none or one defense mechanism within the upper range (p=0.3499) but a marginally significant lower (p=0.0687) number of species with a combination of two or more defense mechanisms within the upper range.

The principal component analysis (PCA) among the three defensive traits showed that each trait axe was separated equidistant from the other two by an angle of ca. 120° (Fig. 4). Oak species were distributed along the three-trait vectors, with no species clearly located between any two-trait vectors. Species with high LMA were distributed along the upper range of the work of fracture vector, whereas species with low LMA were aligned either with the condensed tannins vector or the RGR vector (Fig. 4). Species with intermediate values of LMA were mainly located in the center on the principal component axes (Fig. 4).

4 Discussion

The evaluation of the three constitutive defensive strategies (leaf toughness, condensed tannins and RGR) among the oak species of this study revealed that none of the species have maximum values in more than one defensive trait. That is, species tend to maximize only one of the defensive strategies considered, confirming our first hypothesis. For example, while Q. ilex subsp. rotundifolia maximizes leaf toughness, Q. lobata and Q. muehlenbergii maximize condensed tannins and RGR, respectively (Fig. 2). The existence of an interspecific tradeoff among defensive traits agrees with previous studies in oaks (Mediavilla et al. 2018; Abdala-Roberts et al. 2018; Perkovich and Ward 2021) and other species (Dostálek et al. 2016; Eichenberg et al. 2015), where it is indicated that the co-expression of multiple defense strategies may be too expensive for a particular species, since investing in defensive traits is thought to reduce the resource availability for other processes, such as reproduction (Heil and Bostock 2002; Koricheva et al. 2004). By contrast, some species such as Q. pyrenaica or Q. cerris presented low values for the three defensive traits analyzed, when compared with the highest



Fig. 3 Two-dimensional relationships between work of fracture, condensed tannins, and relative growth rate (RGR) for the 19 oaks studied ((a), (b), (c)). Three-dimensional relationship between defensive traits using normalized values ((d)). Shadow squares represent the areas with normalized values above 0.5. Species are classified in three levels of leaf mass area (LMA). Data are mean values ± SE

absolute values of their congeners (Fig. 2). In the case of Q. pyrenaica, its leaves have more trichomes than the leaves of all other species of the study (personal observations), being considered leaves densely pubescent, attribute that can act as a defensive barrier against herbivory (Kitamura et al. 2007) and thus compensating the lower constitutive values found in the traits analyzed in this study. In particular, Q. cerris seemed to be the most vulnerable oak to herbivory from a constitutive point of view. Perhaps this species allocates resources to other constitutive strategies such as indirect defenses (e.g., attraction of the herbivores' natural enemies with volatile compounds), or relies mostly on induced defense mechanisms, showing low constitutive values (Agrawal and Karban 1999; Lehrman et al. 2013; Moctezuma et al. 2014; Perkovich and Ward 2021). Nevertheless, our results should be interpreted with caution as, due to the restrictions imposed by the common garden, we did not contemplate the intraspecific variation in the plant defensive traits that might not be negligible (Hahn and Maron 2016).

Despite the lack of several individuals per species to analyze intraspecific variation, the LMA values used in this study to classify the species in three categories were good representative of the species values when compared with data from other studies (e.g., Niinemets 2001; Cornelissen et al. 2003; Wright et al. 2004; Kleyer et al. 2008), minimizing the phenotypic response to local environmental conditions. Taking into account these LMA categories, our results showed that developing very tough leaves was the main defensive strategy in oaks with high LMA, whereas making leaves with high constitutive concentrations of condensed tannins (chemical defense) or having high growth rates as a tolerance strategy were associated with low LMA values. Therefore, we confirm our second hypothesis: the strategy maximized by oak species would depend on the species' leaf mass area. More specifically, our results suggest that the elevated



Fig. 4 Principal component analysis (PCA) of defensive traits in the 19 oaks studied. Species are classified in three levels of leaf mass area (LMA). Each dot corresponds to a single species. WF, work of fracture; CT, condensed tannins; RGR, relative growth rate

construction costs associated with high LMA limits the investment in alternative strategies, such as chemical defense or growth.

The higher toughness found in oaks with high LMA $(>151 \text{ g m}^{-2})$ reflects an improvement of a larger structural resistance that was related in previous studies to increased leaf density associated with a higher vein density, thicker cell walls, thicker bundle sheath extensions, and thicker epidermis outer walls (Peguero-Pina et al. 2017; Sancho-Knapik et al. 2021; Alonso-Forn et al. 2023). In addition, high values of LMA and structural resistance have been associated with a longer leaf lifespan (Reich et al. 1991; Wright and Westoby 2002; Onoda et al. 2011; Alonso-Forn et al. 2020). Effectively, the oaks from this group have the longest leaf lifespans of the studied species, from 12 up to 26 months in the case of Q. ilex (Alonso-Forn et al. 2020). It has been argued that leaves with higher structural resistance had longer leaf life spans to compensate their costly investment in construction, although the underlying mechanisms are controversial (Turner 1994).

Within the group with low LMA (<100 g m⁻²) and in opposition to the previous group, species constructed leaves with the lowest values of toughness and therefore

the lowest mechanical resistance, which agreed with their shorter leaf life span (5 to 8 months, Alonso-Forn et al. 2020). These species, with a low investment in mechanical resistance and reduced construction costs per area, would favor one of the two other strategies, chemical defense or tolerance (Fig. 4). Regarding the chemical defense, the contribution of condensed tannins to LMA seems to be very small, as the two species with the highest concentration of tannins are those with the lowest LMA (Fig. 2). Concerning the tolerance strategy, the species with low LMA tent to have higher leaf area ratio (LAR, plant area/plant dry weight, $m^2 g^{-1}$) (Cornelissen et al. 1996, 1998), which results in higher values of RGR (Antúnez et al. 2001). Another way to increase RGR is to increase the net assimilation rate (NAR) as RGR can also be calculated as LAR x NAR (Antúnez et al. 2001). Growing climatic conditions, especially temperature, can also affect RGR in *Quercus*, although the explanatory power of this factor can be low when compared to other environmental factors such as tree age or tree density (Villar et al. 2017). In our experiment, RGR of most species was obtained for an interval period with a mean temperature ca. 15.2 °C (Fig. 1), i.e., growth temperature of most species was similar, minimizing the possible effect of temperature on RGR. Only three of the species (the Mediterranean evergreens) grew with a higher interval growth temperature (17–19 °C; Fig. 1) due to their late phenology. In any case, despite this higher temperature, RGR of these three species was not remarkable (Fig. 2). By contrast, *Q. muehlenbergii*, a cold-temperate oak, showed the highest RGR with one of the lowest interval temperatures (15.1 °C).

Additionally, we found that those oaks with high condensed tannins tended to have low RGR (e.g., Q. lobata, Fig. 2), and conversely, those oaks with high RGR had low to intermediate values of condensed tannins (e.g., Q. muehlenbergii, Fig. 2). This is in agreement with previous works reporting a tradeoff between constitutive chemical defense and tolerance (i.e., growth rate) within this group (Kosola et al. 2004; Stevens et al. 2007; Perkovich and Ward 2021). Nevertheless, as pointed out by Perkovich and Ward (2021), species with low constitutive values of chemical defense may develop a strong induced response, which would be compatible with high potential growth rates. Indeed, Perkovich and Ward (2021) found a negative association between growth rates and constitutive chemical defense, partially explained by the phylogeny, but did not find any tradeoff with induced chemical defense. In this regard, we encourage further assessment of the tradeoffs between defense traits, considering both constitutive and induced responses, and the eventual role of phylogenetic constraints, such as those imposed by elevated LMA (e.g., in the species from section ilex, which tend to show high values of LMA; Sancho-Knapik et al. 2021).

5 Conclusion

Oaks within this study showed a trade-off among three leaf defensive strategies: leaf toughness, condensed tannins, and RGR that were associated to different levels of LMA. Oak species with high LMA developed very tough leaves that was associated with a larger structural resistance and longer leaf lifespans. By contrast, oaks with low LMA presented the lowest values of leaf toughness but favored between one of the two other strategies, higher constitutive concentrations of condensed tannins or having high growth rates.

Code availability

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Domingo Sancho Knapik, Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín, Juan Pedro Ferrio, José Javier Peguero-Pina; methodology: Rubén Martín-Sánchez, David Alonso-Forn, Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín; formal analysis and investigation: Domingo Sancho Knapik; writing—original draft preparation: Domingo Sancho Knapik; writing—review and editing: Rubén Martín-Sánchez, José Javier Peguero-Pina, Juan Pedro Ferrio, Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín; funding acquisition: Juan Pedro Ferrio, Domingo Sancho Knapik; supervision: Eustaquio Gil-Pelegrín. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grant CNS2022-136156 funded by MCIN/ AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and European Union Next Generation EU/ PRTR, by grant PID2019-106701RR-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and by Gobierno de Aragón S74_23R research group. Work of R.M-S. is supported by a predoctoral Gobierno de Aragón scholarship. Work of DA-F was supported by an INIA contract BES-2017–081208.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the citaREA repository, https://doi.org/10532/6533.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors gave their informed consent to this publication and its content.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Agrifood Research and Technology Centre of Aragón (CITA), Avda. Montañana 930, Zaragoza 50059, Spain. ²Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón - IA2 (CITA-Universidad de Zaragoza), Zaragoza, Spain. ³Aragon Agency for Research and Development (ARAID), Zaragoza 50018, Spain. ⁴Estación Experimental de Aula Dei (EEAD), CSIC, Avda. Montañana 1005, Zaragoza 50059, Spain.

Received: 4 July 2023 Accepted: 29 September 2023 Published online: 16 October 2023

References

- Abdala-Roberts L, GalmaÂn A, Petry WK, Covelo F, de la Fuente M, Glauser G, Moreira X (2018) Interspecific variation in leaf functional and defensive traits in oak species and its underlying climatic drivers. PLoS One 13:e0202548. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202548
- Adams J, Rehill B, Zhang Y, Gower J (2009) A test of the latitudinal defense hypothesis: herbivory, tannins and total phenolics in four North American tree species. Ecol Res 24:697–704. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11284-008-0541-x
- Agrawal A, Karban R (1999) Why induced defenses may be favored over constitutive strategies in plants. In: Tollrian R, Marvell C (eds) The ecology and evolution of inducible defenses. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 45–61
- Aide TM, Londoño EC (1989) The effects of rapid leaf expansion on the growth and survivorship of a lepidopteran herbivore. Oikos 55:66–70. https://doi. org/10.2307/3565873
- Alonso-Forn D, Sancho-Knapik D, Fariñas MD, Nadal M, Martín-Sánchez R, Ferrio JP, Resco de Dios V, Peguero-Pina JJ, Onoda Y, Cavender-Bares J, Gómez Álvarez Arenas T, Gil-Pelegrín E (2023) Disentangling leaf structural and material properties in relation to their anatomical and chemical compositional traits in oaks (*Quercus* L.). Ann Bot. https://doi.org/10. 1093/aob/mcad030
- Alonso-Forn D, Sancho-Knapik D, Ferrio JP, Peguero-Pina JJ, Bueno A, Onoda Y, Cavender-Bares J, Niinemets Ü, Jansen S, Riederer M, Cornelissen JHC, Chai Y, Gil-Pelegrín E (2020) Revisiting the functional basis of sclero-phylly within the leaf economics spectrum of oaks: different roads to rome. Current Forestry Reports 6:260–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-020-00122-7
- Antúnez I, Retamosa EC, Villar R (2001) Relative growth rate in phylogenetically related deciduous and evergreen woody species. Oecologia 128:172– 180. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100645

Barbehenn RV, Constabel CP (2011) Tannins in plant–herbivore interactions. Phytochemistry 72:1551–1565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem. 2011.01.040

- Birkemoe T, Bergmann S, Hasle TE, Klanderud K (2016) Experimental warming increases herbivory by leaf-chewing insects in an alpine plant community. Ecol Evol 6:6955–6962. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2398
- Büchel K, Fenning T, Gershenzon J, Hilker M, Meiners T (2016) Elm defence against herbivores and pathogens: morphological, chemical and molecular regulation aspects. Phytochem Rev 15:961–983. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11101-015-9442-0
- Cárdenas RE, Valencia R, Kraft NJB, Argoti A, Dangles O (2014) Plant traits predict inter- and intra-specific variation in susceptibility to herbivory in a hyperdiverse Neotropical rain forest tree community. J Ecol 102:939–952. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12255
- Coley PD, Barone JA (1996) Herbivory and plant defenses in tropical forests. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 27:305–335. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys. 27.1.305
- Cornelissen JHC, Castro Díez P, Hunt R (1996) Seedling growth, allocation and leaf attributes in a wide range of woody plant species and types. J Ecol 84:755–765. https://doi.org/10.2307/2261337
- Cornelissen JHC, Castro-Díez P, Carnelli AC (1998) Variation in relative growth rate among woody species: scaling up. In: Lambers H, Poorter H, van Vuuren M (eds) Inherent variation in plant growth: physiological mechanisms and ecological consequences. Backhuys, Leiden, pp 363–392
- Cornelissen JHC, Cerabolini B, Castro-Diez P, Villar-Salvador P, Montserrat-Marti G, Puyravaud JP, Maestro M, Werger MJA, Aerts R (2003) Functional traits of woody plants: correspondence of species rankings between field adults and laboratory-grown seedlings? J Veg Sci 14:311–322. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02157.x
- Cope OL, Keefover-Ring K, Kruger EL, Lindroth RL (2021) Growth–defense trade-offs shape population genetic composition in an iconic forest tree species. Proc Natl Acad Sci 118:e2103162118. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.2103162118/10f6ECOLOGY
- Currano ED, Labandeira CC, Wilf P (2010) Fossil insect folivory tracks paleotemperature for six million years. Ecological Monographs 80:547–567. http:// www.jstor.org/stable/20787449
- Danckwerts JE (1993) Reserve carbon and photosynthesis: their role in regrowth of *Themeda triandra*, a widely distributed subtropical graminaceous species. Funct Ecol 7:634–641. https://doi.org/10.2307/2390141
- Dicke M, Baldwin IT (2010) The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: beyond the "cry for help." Trends Plant Sci 15:167–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.002
- Dostálek T, Rokaya MB, Maršík P, Rezek J, Skuhrovec J, Pavela R, Münzbergová Z (2016) Trade-off among different anti-herbivore defence strategies along an altitudinal gradient. AoB PLANTS 8:plw026. https://doi.org/10.1093/ aobpla/plw026
- Eichenberg D, Purschke O, Ristok C, Wessjohann L, Bruelheide H (2015) Trade-offs between physical and chemical carbon-based leaf defence: of intraspecific variation and trait evolution. J Ecol 103:1667–1679. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12475
- Escudero A, Mediavilla S, Olmo M, Villar R, Merino J (2017) Coexistence of deciduous and evergreen oak species in Mediterranean environments: costs associated with the leaf and root traits of both habits. In: Gil-Pelegrín E, Peguero-Pina J, Sancho-Knapik D (eds) Oaks physiological ecology. Exploring the functional diversity of genus *Quercus* L. Tree Physiology vol 7. Springer, Cham, pp 195–237
- Fyllas NM, Chrysafi D, Avtzis DN, Moreira X (2022) Photosynthetic and defensive responses of two Mediterranean oaks to insect leaf herbivory. Tree Physiol 42:2282–2293. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpac067
- Galmán A, Abdala-Roberts L, Covelo F, Rasmann S, Moreira X (2019) Parallel increases in insect herbivory and defenses with increasing elevation for both saplings and adult trees of oak (*Quercus*) species. Am J Bot 106:1558–1565. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1388
- Gianoli E, Salgado-Luarte C (2017) Tolerance to herbivory and the resource availability hypothesis. Biol Let 13:20170120. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl. 2017.0120
- Grabber JH, Zeller WE, Mueller-Harvey I (2013) Acetone enhances the direct analysis of procyanidin- and prodelphinidin-based condensed tannins

in lotus species by the butanol-HCI-iron assay. J Agric Food Chem 61:2669–2678. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf304158m

- Hahn PG, Maron JL (2016) A framework for predicting intraspecific variation in plant defense. Trends Ecol Evol 31:646–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree. 2016.05.007
- Heil M, Bostock RM (2002) Induced systemic resistance (ISR) against pathogens in the context of induced plant defences. Ann Bot 89:503–512
- Karban R (2011) The ecology and evolution of induced resistance against herbivores. Funct Ecol 25:339–347. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435. 2010.01789.x
- Kitamura M, Nakamura T, Hattori K, Ishida TA, Shibata S, Sato H, Kimura MT (2007) Among-tree variation in leaf traits and herbivore attacks in a deciduous oak, *Quercus dentata*. Scand J for Res 22:211–218. https://doi. org/10.1080/02827580701217893
- Kleyer M, Bekker RM, Knevel IC et al (2008) The LEDA Traitbase: a database of life-history traits of the Northwest European flora. J Ecol 96:1266–1274. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01430.x
- Koricheva J, Nykänen H, Gianoli E (2004) Meta-analysis of trade-offs among plant antiherbivore defenses: are plants jacks-of-all-trades, masters of all? Am Nat 163:E64–E75. https://doi.org/10.1086/382601
- Kosola KR, Dickmann DI, Hall RB, Workmaster BAA (2004) Cottonwood growth rate and fine root condensed tannin concentration. Tree Physiol 24:1063–1068. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.9.1063
- Kursar TA, Dexter G, Lokvam J, Pennington RT, Richardson JE, Weber MG, Murakami ET, Drake C, McGregor R, Coley PD (2009) The evolution of antiherbivore defenses and their contribution to species coexistence in the tropical tree genus Inga. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:18073–18078. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904786106
- Lehrman A, Boddum T, Stenberg JA, Orians CM, Björkman C (2013) Constitutive and herbivore-induced systemic volatiles differentially attract an omnivorous biocontrol agent to contrasting *Salix* clones. AoB Plants 5:plt005. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plt005
- Lokvam J, Brenes-Arguedas T, Lee JS, Coley PD, Kursar TA (2006) Allelochemic function for a primary metabolite: the case of L-tyrosine hyper-production in Inga umbellifera (Fabaceae). Am J Bot 93:1109–1115. https://doi. org/10.3732/ajb.93.8.1109
- Lokvam J, Clausen TP, Grapov D, Coley PD, Kursar TA (2007) Galloyl depsides of tyrosine from young leaves of *Inga laurina*. J Nat Prod 70:134–136. https:// doi.org/10.1021/np060491m
- Lokvam J, Coley PD, Kursar TA (2004) Cinnamoyl glucosides of catechin and dimeric procyanidins from young leaves of *Inga umbellifera* (Fabaceae). Phytochemistry 65:351–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2003. 11.012
- Madritch MD, Lindroth RL (2015) Condensed tannins increase nitrogen recovery by trees following insect defoliation. New Phytol 208:410–420. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13444
- Mediavilla S, Babiano J, Martínez-Ortega M, Escudero A (2018) Ontogenetic changes in anti-herbivore defensive traits in leaves of four Mediterranean co-occurring *Quercus* species. Ecol Res 33:1093–1102. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11284-018-1622-0
- Moctezuma C, Hammerbacher A, Heil M, Gershenzon J, Méndez-Alonzo R, Oyama K (2014) Specific polyphenols and tannins are associated with defense against insect herbivores in the tropical oak *Quercus oleoides*. J Chem Ecol 40:458–467. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-014-0431-3
- Moles AT, Westoby M (2000) Do small leaves expand faster than large leaves, and do shorter expansion times reduce herbivore damage? Oikos 90:517–524. www.jstor.org/stable/3547709
- Moreira X, Castagneyrol B, Abdala-Roberts L, Berny-Mier y Teran JC, Timmermans BGH, Bruun HH, Covelo F, Glauser G, Rasmann S, Tack AJM (2018) Latitudinal variation in plant chemical defences drives latitudinal patterns of leaf herbivory. Ecography 41:1124–1134. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog. 03326
- Moreira X, Pearse IS (2017) Leaf habit does not determine the investment in both physical and chemical defences and pair-wise correlations between these defensive traits. Plant Biol 19:354–359. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb. 12537
- Nakamura M, Minoshima M, Terada C, Takagi K, Makoto K, Shibata H, Hiura T (2021) Response of background herbivory in mature birch trees to global warming. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change 4:2021. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/ffgc.2021.675401

Niinemets U (2001) Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. Ecology 82:453–469. https:// doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0453:GSCCOL]2.0.CO;2

- Onoda Y, Westoby M, Adler PB et al (2011) Global patterns of leaf mechanical properties. Ecol Lett 14:301–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248. 2010.01582.x
- Paul-Victor C, Züst T, Rees M, Kliebenstein DJ, Turnbull LA (2010) A new method for measuring relative growth rate can uncover the costs of defensive compounds in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytol 187:1102– 1111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03325.x
- Pearse IS, Hipp AL (2009) Phylogenetic and trait similarity to a native species predict herbivory on non-native oaks. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:18097– 18102. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904867106
- Pearse IS, Hipp AL (2012) Global patterns of leaf defenses in oak species. Evolution 66:2272–2286. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01591.x
- Peguero-Pina JJ, Sisó S, Flexas J, Galmés J, García-Nogales A, Niinemets Ü, Sancho-Knapik D, Saz MÁ, Gil-Pelegrín E (2017) Cell-level anatomical characteristics explain high mesophyll conductance and photosynthetic capacity in sclerophyllous Mediterranean oaks. New Phytol 214:585–596. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14406
- Perkovich C, Ward D (2021) Herbivore-induced defenses are not under phylogenetic constraints in the genus *Quercus* (oak): Phylogenetic patterns of growth, defense, and storage. Ecol Evol 11:5187–5203. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ece3.7409
- Porter LJ, Hrstich LN, Chan BG (1986) The conversion of procyanidins and prodelphinidins to cyanidin and delphinidin. Phytochemistry 25:223–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)94533-3
- R Core Team (2022) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- Rasmann S, Buri A, Gallot-Lavallée M, Joaquim J, Purcell J, Pellissier L (2014) Differential allocation and deployment of direct and indirect defences by *Vicia sepium* along elevation gradients. J Ecol 102:930–938. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2745.12253
- Reich PB, Uhl C, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS (1991) Leaf lifespan as a determinant of leaf structure and function among 23 Amazonian tree species. Oecologia 86:16–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317383
- Rohner C, Ward D (1997) Chemical and mechanical defense against herbivory in two sympatric species of desert Acacia. J Veg Sci 8:717–726. https:// doi.org/10.2307/3237377
- Salminen JP, Karonen M (2011) Chemical ecology of tannins and other phenolics: we need a change in approach. Funct Ecol 25:325–338. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01826.x
- Sancho-Knapik D, Escudero A, Mediavilla S, Scoffoni C, Zailaa J, Cavender-Bares J, Álvarez-Arenas TG, Molins A, Alonso-Forn D, Ferrio JP, Peguero-Pina JJ, Gil-Pelegrín E (2021) Deciduous and evergreen oaks show contrasting adaptive responses in leaf mass per area across environments. New Phytol 230:521–534. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17151
- Sancho-Knapik D, Martín-Sánchez R, Alonso-Forn D, Peguero-Pina JJ, Ferrio JP, Gil-Pelegrín E (2023) Trade-offs among leaf toughness, constitutive chemical defense, and growth rates in oaks are influenced by the level of leaf mass per area. citaREA. https://citarea.cita-aragon.es/citarea/handle/ 10532/6533
- Sanson G, Read J, Aranwela N, Clissold F, Peeters P (2001) Measurement of leaf biomechanical properties in studies of herbivory: opportunities, problems and procedures. Austral Ecol 26:535–546. https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 1442-9993.2001.01154.x
- Schemske DW, Mittelbach GG, Cornell HV, Sobel JM, Roy K (2009) Is there a latitudinal gradient in the importance of biotic interactions? Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 40:245–269. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39. 110707.173430
- Schowalter TD (2006) Herbivory. In: Schowalter TD (ed) Insect Ecology. An Ecosystem Approach, 2nd edn. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 347–382
- Schoonhoven LM, van Loon JJA, Dicke M (2005) Insect–plant biology. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Seigler DS (1998) Plant secondary metabolism. Kluwer, Boston
- Solla A, Milanović S, Gallardo A, Bueno A, Corcobado T, Cáceres Y, Morcuende D, Quesada A, Moreno G, Pulido F (2016) Genetic determination of tannins and herbivore resistance in *Quercus ilex*. Tree Genet Genomes 12:117. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-016-1069-9

- Stevens MT, Waller DM, Lindroth RL (2007) Resistance and tolerance in *Populus tremuloides*: genetic variation, costs, and environmental dependency. Evol Ecol 21:829–847. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-006-9154-4
- Strauss SY, Agrawal AA (1999) The ecology and evolution of plant tolerance to herbivory. Trends Ecol Evol 14:179–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01576-6
- Turner IM (1994) Sclerophylly: primarily protective? Functional Ecolology 8:669–675. https://doi.org/10.2307/2390225
- van der Meijden E, Wijn M, Verkaar HJ (1988) Defense and regrowth, alternative plant strategies in the struggle against herbivores. Oikos 51:355–363. https://doi.org/10.2307/3565318
- Villar R, Ruiz-Benito P, de la Riva EG, Poorter H, Cornelissen JHC, Quero JL (2017) Growth and growth-related traits for a range of *Quercus* species grown as seedlings under controlled conditions and for adult plants from the field. In: Gil-Pelegrín E, Peguero-Pina J, Sancho-Knapik D (eds) Oaks physiological ecology. Exploring the functional diversity of genus *Quercus* L., Springer, Cham, pp 393–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69099-5_12
- Vincent JFV (1991) Structural biomaterials. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Vincent JFV (1992) Introduction. In: Vincent JFV (ed) Biomechanics-materials: a practical approach. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 18
- Wang XF, Liu JF, Gao WQ, Deng YP, Ni YY, Xiao YH, Kang FF, Wang Q, Lei JP, Jiang ZP (2016) Defense pattern of Chinese cork oak across latitudinal gradients: influences of ontogeny, herbivory, climate and soil nutrients. Sci Rep 6:27269. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27269
- Wright W, Vincent JFV (1996) Herbivory and the mechanics of fracture in plants. Biol Rev 71:401–413. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1996. tb01280.x
- Wright IJ, Westoby M (2002) Leaves at low versus high rainfall: coordination of structure, lifespan and physiology. New Phytol 155:403–416. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00479.x
- Wright IJ, Reich PM, Westoby M et al (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428:821–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403
- Züst T, Agrawal AA (2017) Trade-offs between plant growth and defense against insect herbivory: an emerging mechanistic synthesis. Annu Rev Plant Biol 68:513–534. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arpla nt-042916-040856

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

